OCCASIONAL PAPERS SERIES 20

MAQĀṢID AL-SHARĪ^cAH, IJTIHAD AND CIVILISATIONAL RENEWAL

MOHAMMAD HASHIM KAMALI

THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT LONDON • WASHINGTON

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED ISLAMIC STUDIES (IAIS) MALAYSIA OCCASIONAL PAPERS SERIES 20

MAQĀṢID AL-SHARĪʿAH, IJTIHAD AND CIVILISATIONAL RENEWAL

MOHAMMAD HASHIM KAMALI





THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT LONDON · WASHINGTON

JOINT PUBLICATION, 1433AH/2012CE

© The International Institute of Islamic Thought International Institute of Advanced Islamic Studies (1AIS) malaysia

The International Institute of Islamic Thought p.o. box 669, herndon, va 20172, usa www.iiit.org

LONDON OFFICE P.O. BOX 126, RICHMOND, SURREY TW9 2UD, UK www.iiituk.com

International Institute of Advanced Islamic Studies (1A1S) malaysia p.o. box 12303, pejabat pos besar, 50774, kuala lumpur, malaysia www.http://www.iais.org.my

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of the publishers.

ISBN 978-1-56564-579-0

The views and opinions expressed in this book are those of the author and not necessarily those of the publisher. The publisher is not responsible for the accuracy of URLs for external or third-party internet websites referred to in this publication, and does not guarantee that any content on such websites is, or will remain, accurate or appropriate.

Typesetting by Sideek Ali

SERIES EDITORS

DR. ANAS S. AL SHAIKH-ALI SHIRAZ KHAN

CONTENTS

FOREWORD	v
Introductory Remarks	I
Meaning and Definition of Maqāṣid	4
Maqāșid in the Qur'an: Text and Interpretation	7
<i>Maqāşid</i> and Sunnah	10
<i>Maqāșid</i> and Civilisation	15
Classification of Maqāṣid	16
Identification of Maqāșid	20
Scope of <i>Maqāṣid: Uṣūl al-Fiqh</i> and Beyond	28
The Scope of <i>Maqāṣid</i> Revisited	32
Means and Accomplishers (<i>wasā'il wa mukammilāt</i>) of <i>Maqāsid</i>	35
<i>Maqāṣid</i> and Ijtihad	38
Conclusion and Recommendations	43
NOTES	47

FOREWORD

THE International Institute of Islamic Thought (IIIT) and the International Institute of Advanced Islamic Studies (IAIS) Malaysia have great pleasure in jointly presenting Occasional Paper 20 *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah*, *Ijtihad and Civilisational Renewal*. The author, Professor Mohammad Hashim Kamali, is a well-known scholar and specialist in this field.

Maqāşid al-sharī^c*ah* refers to the higher ideals and objectives of Islamic Law which in the opinion of the IIIT forms an important yet neglected area of Islamic Law, particularly relevant to address current and real challenges facing Muslims living both in Muslim societies and as minorities. For Islamic rulings to fulfil their purposes of justice, equality, human rights, development, and civility in today's context, implementation of *maqāşid al-sharī*^c*ah* is vital. The field highlights human welfare as the ultimate purpose of all Islamic rulings and stresses its importance, while basing itself on the foundational postulates of Islamic faith and scripture.

It is for this reason as well as the paucity of works in the English language on the subject of *maqāşid al-sharī*^cah that the IIIT decided to fill the vacuum by initiating the translation and publication of a series of books on *al-Maqāşid* to introduce this important and difficult area of thought to English readers. These include to date, *Ibn Ashur: Treatise on Maqāşid al-Shari*^cah, *Imam al-Shāțibī*'s Theory of the Higher Objectives and Intents of Islamic Law by Ahmad al-Raysuni, *Towards Realization of the Higher Intents of Islamic Law: Maqāşid al-Shari*^cah a Functional Approach by Gamal Eldin Attia, and *Maqasid al-Shari*^cah as Philosophy of Islamic Law: A Systems Approach by Jasser Auda.

FOREWORD

As the topic is complex and intellectually challenging, with most books appearing on the subject written mainly for specialists, scholars and intellectuals alone, the IIIT London Office is also producing other simple introductory guides to the subject as part of its Occasional Papers series with a view to providing easily accessible material for the general reader. These include *Maqāşid al-Sharī*^cah: A Beginner's Guide, The Islamic Vision of Development in the Light of Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah by Muhammad Umer Chapra and *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah Made Simple* by Mohammad Hashim Kamali.

Where dates are cited according to the Islamic calendar (hijrah) they are labelled AH. Otherwise they follow the Gregorian calendar and are labelled CE where necessary. Arabic words are italicised except for those which have entered common usage. Diacritical marks have been added only to those Arabic names not considered modern.

The IIIT, established in 1981, has served as a major center to facilitate serious scholarly efforts based on Islamic vision, values and principles. The Institute's programs of research, seminars and conferences during the last thirty years have resulted in the publication of more than four hundred titles in English and Arabic, many of which have been translated into other major languages.

Founded in 2008 in Kuala Lumpur, the International Institute of Advanced Islamic Studies (IAIS) Malaysia is a non-profit, independent research institute, dedicated to objective academic research with practical policy-relevant implications on Islam and contemporary issues of concern to Malaysia, the Muslim world, and Islam's engagement with other civilisations. IAIS Malaysia publishes a quarterly international peer-reviewed journal, *Islam and Civilisational Renewal* (ICR), and books, monographs, and Occasional Paper Series, as well as convening seminars and conferences on contemporary topics with special reference to Islam.

> ANAS S. AL SHAIKH-ALI Academic Advisor, IIIT London Office

Maqāṣid al-Sharīʿah, Ijtihad and Civilisational Renewal

MOHAMMAD HASHIM KAMALI

This essay develops the idea of a maqāşid-based framework for ijtihad and civilisational renewal (tajdīd haḍārī), a broad and engaging prospect that also involves a review and reappraisal of the methodology of Islamic jurisprudence relating to both the maqāşid and ijtihad. The author argues that this would enable Muslims to widen the scope and horizon of the maqāşid or objectives of Islamic law from their currently legalistic leanings towards the wider perspective of civilisational renaissance. The nexus that needs to be developed between the maqāşid and ijtihad also needs to be supported by a credible methodology, which is what the author has attempted to provide in the following pages.

INTRODUCTORY REMARKS

The *maqāşid* of the Shari^cah naturally reflect on the Shari^cah itself in that the goals of the Shari^cah arise from the Shari^cah and are in many ways affected by developments in the Shari^cah itself, the history of ijtihad, and major developments in the applied law and custom of society. Developments relating to the ahkām (legal rules), ijtihad and fatwas have largely been influenced by the minutiae of figh writings that focused on particular cases and incidents at the expense sometimes of the broader goals and purposes of the Shari'ah. Similarly, the textualist orientations of figh are manifested in the legal theory of *usul al-figh* and both remained focused on analysing the text at the expense often of the overall goal and objective of the Shari^cah. Theoretical expatiation into the higher purposes of the law and the quest to explore the intent of the Lawgiver were generally not encouraged. The magsid or purpose of the text was only recognised when the text declared it as such, a position which to all intents and purposes extended the textualist approach of *uşūl al-fiqh*

also to the *maqāşid*. The onset of *taqlīd* (indiscriminate imitation), which advised unquestioning adherence to the authority of the past jurists and imams, added to the problem. The *maqāşid*-based approach was consequently marginalised so much so that many a reputable text of usul al-fiqh did not even assign a section or chapter to the study of the *maqāşid*, and it was not until al-Shāțibī (d. 1388) that the *maqāşid* were treated as a credible theme in its own right, and an instrument also of flexibility within the *corpus juris* of the Shari^cah. However, the *maqāşid* still remained marginal to the mainstream usul al-fiqh, but made a comeback only in the latter part of the twentieth century.

It is due to their focus on real life issues of vital concern to people's welfare that the *maqāşid* became the focus of renewed attention in recent decades, attested by numerous doctoral dissertations, books, and conferences that sought to further develop this important chapter of the Shari^cah. A mere glance at the five essential *maqāşid*, namely life, intellect, religion, property and family, shows that the *maqāşid* are concerned with protection of basic values of interest to all human beings. This is a different approach to that of the *uşūl al-fiqh* that proposes certain methodologies for ijtihad of relevance only indirectly to the protection of basic values. In its long history of development, the *uşūl* methodology has also become burdened with technicality and literalism.

Researchers have in recent decades focused on exploring the utility and relevance of the *maqāşid* to constitutional law and government as well as to criminal law, family law and more specific issues of concern to Islamic finance, genetic engineering and so forth. This is accompanied by an awareness that the methodologies of *uşūl al-fiqh* and ijtihad are, on the whole, predicated on medieval society values, retrospective, and somewhat slow to relate effectively to modern legislative processes, science, technology, industry and commerce. By contrast, the *maqāşid* are goals and purposes that look to the future and permit innovative approaches to the Shari^cah and contemporary issues. To speak, for instance, of ijma^c (general consensus), *qiyās* (analogical reasoning) and *istihsān* (juristic preference), one is likely to be involved in technicalities and methodological

details. *Maqāşid* are inherently dynamic by comparison and open to growth in tandem with changing conditions, just as they also strike a closer note with the contemporary human rights discourse. The Muslim world is currently witnessing a growing support for accountability, good governance, democracy and human rights, and the *maqāşid* are seen to be offering a preferable approach to that of the usul methodologies to meet the demand of healthy adjustment within the fabric of the Shari^cah.

Beginning with the meaning and definition of maqāşid and a brief review of its allied expressions such as hikmah, cillah, and maşlahah, this article proceeds with a note on the relevance of magāșid to civilisational renewal (tajdīd hadārī). Next follows a review of the Qur'an and Sunnah with special reference to their rule-based passages, namely the *āyāt al-ahkām* and *ahādīth al-ahkām*. A question is posed whether the placement of Qur'an verses and hadith into these categories could also be made based on the objective and purpose (magsid) of a particular text, whether of the Qur'an or hadith. An affirmative answer to this question would mean that a new branch of magāsid-based tafsīr and hadith can be developed, and a good place to start this may be to take a fresh look into the relevant segments of an existing genre of *tafsīr*, namely the thematic *tafsīr (tafsīr maw* $d\bar{u}^{c}\bar{i}$), as will be explained. This would help ascertain the place of maqāșid not only in Qur'an interpretation but also as valid criteria of hukm of the Qur'an and hadith. To link the maqāşid thus directly to *āyāt al-ahkām* and *ahādīth al-ahkām* could also address and overcome the historical marginalisation and neglect of maqāşid in the legal theory of usul al-figh. Then I expound the development of *maqāsid* themselves through the reading in the first place of the clear text (nass), and then through juristic reasoning (ijtihad). The latter may consist in turn of inductive reasoning (istigra'), istidlal and ^caql (human intellect, unrestricted reasoning respectively), and then also of innate human nature (al-fitrah) that resembles natural law and natural justice in western jurisprudence. Then I turn to the prospects of widening the scope of *maqāsid*, from a designated list of the five essentials (*darūriyyāt*), to a basically open chapter of the Shari^cah that could evolve in tandem with the progress of science and

civilisation. The basic hypothesis of this research is thus to expound, from the Islamic jurisprudential perspective, the uses of *maqāşid* and ijtihad as instruments of civilisational renewal. The article ends with a conclusion and recommendations.

MEANING AND DEFINITION OF MAQĀŞID

Maqāşid (singular: *maqşid*) refers to the goals and purposes of the Shari'ah either generally (i.e. *al-maqāşid al-'āmmah*), or in reference to its particular themes and subjects (*al-maqāşid al-khāşşah*). Three other Arabic words that occur in the relevant literature of *uşūl al-fiqh* and convey similar meanings to *maqāşid* are *hikmah* (wisdom), *'illah* (effective cause/*ratio legis*), and *maşlahah* (interest, benefit) respectively. A brief review of these is followed by the definition of *maqāşid*.

Hikmah in the sense of wisdom looks toward the positive end or purpose of conduct, and in the works of Muslim jurists it usually refers to the wisdom and end-result of legislation, accomplishment of a desired benefit or its perfection. *Hikmah* (pl. *hikam*) may signify a beneficial consequence of the Shari^cah as a whole, or of a particular ruling thereof. It also signifies the objective of legislation, in which case, *hikmah* would be synonymous to *maqsid*. It is unusual, however, to use *maqsid* or *maqāşid* in reference to God the Most High, such as *maqāşid Allāh*, although *maqāşid al-Shāri^c* – objectives of the Law-Giver – is commonplace, which is why Muslim jurists normally use *hikmah* in reference to Allah. One can, of course, use both *hikmah* and *maqsid* almost interchangeably in reference to the Shari^cah.^T

^c*Illah* signifies two meanings, namely *cause*, as in *cause and effect*, but also more technically in the context of legislation it refers to the effective cause and attribute of a ruling (*hukm*) of the Shari^cah for which it was legislated. For al-Āmidī (d. 1233), ^c*illah* refers to the effective cause, or the *hikmah* and benefit the Lawgiver has considered in introducing a law.² This evidently brings ^c*illah* very close to *maqsid*, as per Mustafa al-Zarqa who wrote: "*cillah* could signify the *hikmah* of legislation in reference to the attraction of benefit or prevention of harm that may be sought through a legal command or prohibition."³ This would equate ^c*illah* to *maqsid* and make it

synonymous with the goal and objective, or $gh\bar{a}yah$, of the Shari^cah. I may add, however, that ^c*illah* is normally tied to the existing text and status quo of the law, whereas *hikmah* in the sense of end-result and purpose looks equally to the present and future and is also not so closely tied to the specificities of the text. In their discussions of the ^c*illah* of analogy (*qiyās*) the *uṣūl* scholars have stated that ^c*illah* must be constant and unchangeable (*munḍabiț*) whereas *hikmah* need not meet this qualification.4

The usul writers have used maslahah almost interchangeably with magsid and many have considered them as convergent and coterminous. I shall have occasion later to draw a distinction between maşlahah and maqşid, but here I note that the tendency of equating these two concepts is partially accountable for the marginalisation of maqāsid in mainstream usul al-fiqh. From an essentialist viewpoint, there is no objection, of course, as masalih and maqasid are parallel concepts and look at basically the same values. Yet we also know that maşlahah, especially the unrestricted maşlahah (maşlahah mursalah) became controversial in uşūlī thought as it represented a certain departure from the textualist proclivities usul al-figh. Only the Mālikī School recognised maşlahah as a source of law in its own right, but all the other schools gave it partial recognition and stipulated it with many conditions. The substance of the scholastic critique of *maslahah* is based on the specious argument, as many have advanced, that the nuşūş of Qur'an and Sunnah encapsulate most, if not all, the benefits and that a maslahah outside this sphere can only be recognised if it can be connected to a recognised principle of Shari^cah. Maşlahah mursalah would in this way be likely to be reduced to a form of analogical reasoning ($qiy\bar{a}s$). This is the substance basically of the Shāfi^cī critique of maşlahah mursalah. Now to equate the maqāşid with maşālih, as is the case with mainstream uşūl al-figh, one would be indirectly inviting the polemics of maslahah also to the maqāșid and the tendency thereby to subsume the latter under the rubric of the usuli thought and methodology, which would clearly undermine the place and prominence of *maqāşid* as a distinctive source and chapter of Shari^cah in its own right.⁵

Early pioneers of the *maqāşid*, such as Abū Hāmid al-Ghazāly, ^cIzz al-Dīn ^cAbd al-Salām (d. **1262**), and al-Shāțibī who wrote on the *maqāşid* did not attempt a definition for it on the assumption perhaps of the linguistic clarity of the word itself. This can no longer be an adequate explanation due mainly to the sheer scope and diversity of writings on the subject that has developed ever since, both in the Arabic and other languages. Hence the need for a definition, which was, however belatedly, attempted by twentiethcentury scholars such as Ibn Ashur, Allal al-Fasi, al-Qaradawi, al-Zuhayli and others, who defined the *maqāşid* and also stipulated a number of conditions a valid *maqāşid* must meet.

Al-Raysuni who wrote a book on al-Shāțibī's theory of the *maqāşid* concurred that it was due most likely to the linguistic clarity of *maqşid* that such a prominent contributor to the subject did not attempt a definition. The renowned hadith-cum-legal maxim, *al-umūru bi-maqşidihā* (human affairs are judged by reference to their purposes) was commonplace and frequently cited from early times.⁶

Ibn Ashur (d. 1973) defined the general objectives (maqāșid ^cāmmah) of the Shari^cah as "the deeper meanings (ma^cānī) and inner wisdom (hikam) that the Lawgiver has contemplated in respect of all or most of the Shari^cah ordinances [...]."7 Allal al-Fasi (d. 1964) also defined the maqāsid as "the hidden meanings (al-asrār) and wisdom that the Lawgiver has considered in the enactment of all of the Shari^cah ordinances."⁸ The two definitions differ only slightly in that according to al-Fasi none of the laws of the Shari^cah is without a purpose, whereas Ibn Ashur put it that "all or most of the Shari^cah ordinances" have their purposes. Al-Fasi's usage of "hidden meanings - al-asrār" invites criticism as it would fail to meet Ibn Ashur's four conditions that the general goals of the Shari^cah must qualify. These are: firm, evident, general, and exclusive (*thābit*, *zāhir*, ^cāmm, tard). Other jurists have generally concurred with the analysis that virtually all of the laws of the Shari^cah have their purposes; the doubt emerges, however, whether they are all known to us, since they are not always declared in the clear text.9

Al-Qaradawi noted that "maqāṣid al-sharī^cah consist of the attraction of benefits (al-maṣāliḥ) to the people and repelling of harm and corruption (al-madār wa al-mafāsid) from them."¹⁰ Muhammad al-Zuhayli has given a more detailed definition of maqāṣid as "the ultimate goals, aims, consequences and meanings which the Shari^cah has upheld and established through its laws, and consistently seeks to realise, materialise and achieve them at all times and places."¹¹ Maqāṣid, according to al-Raysuni, signify "the end-goals for which the Shari^cah has been promulgated, namely to realise benefit (maṣlaḥah) for God's servants."¹²

Technicalities apart, almost all the definitions of maqāșid reviewed above are focused on realisation of benefits for human beings, that is, for the individual and society, indeed for all people, regardless of any distinction of status, colour and creed, both in this life and the Hereafter. The benefits/interests include temporal and utilitarian interests of concern to the material, moral and spiritual aspects of human life in this world and the next. Protection of religion is one of the essential maqāşid, yet our general reading of the source evidence informs us that the lives and properties (also among the essential magāsid) of non-Muslims are sacrosanct and that justice and fair dealing under the Shari^cah are inclusive of both Muslims and non-Muslims alike. Islam also recognises the basic freedom of religion and the validity in principle of all monotheistic faiths, which would therefore fall under the protective cover of *maqāşid*. Maqāsid can thus subsume all monotheistic religions as well as the contemporary human rights law, albeit with minor reservations.

MAQĀŞID IN THE QUR'AN: TEXT AND INTERPRETATION

This section presents an overview of the *maqāşid*-based orientations of the qur'anic language, and then a discussion as to how this tendency could be reflected into the legal verses and interpretation of the Holy Book.

The Qur'an is expressive in numerous places of the benefits, goals and purposes of its messages. The Qur'an characterises itself as

"guidance and mercy" (*hudan wa raḥmatan*) (10:57) and the prophethood of Muhammad as "a mercy to the worlds" (21:107). Mercy and *raḥmah* also characterise the most favourite of all the 99 Excellent Names of God: these are *al-Raḥmān* and *al-Raḥīm* (Most Merciful, Most Compassionate), both of which signify that compassion (*raḥmah*) is a cardinal goal and purpose of Islam. More specifically, the purpose of the law of retaliation (*qiṣāş*) in the Qur'an is to protect life (2:179); the purpose of jihad is to fight injustice (22:39); the purpose of prayer is to repel immorality and evil (29:45), and of the alms tax is to prevent circulation of wealth in the hands only of the rich (59:7). The same can be said of the prohibitive injunctions of the text that seek to protect people against harm, prejudice and injustice.

The frequent invocations in the Qur'an for people to think and exercise their reason especially for those who possess knowledge (4:83), prompted the Prophet to speak in condemnation of those who "utter the Qur'an without ever letting it (its meaning) go down their throat."¹³ The purpose is to provide guidance, as one observer put it: "the cardinal objective of Qur'an that runs through the entire text is to provide guidance to individuals and societies, to educate, improve and reform the people, to enable them to build the earth."¹⁴

The development of the genre in Qur'an interpretation known as *tafsīr maw* $d\bar{u}^c\bar{\imath}$ (thematic interpretation), which seeks to consolidate isolated verses into thematic clusters, signified a step towards the development of a goal-oriented *tafsīr*. The thematic *tafsīr* proceeds on the assumption of an essential unity of a number of verses throughout the text on the one and the same subject. The question to pose now is how this unity of theme and content can be reflected into the legislative contents of the Qur'an.¹⁵

The $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t al-ahk\bar{a}m$, numbered at about 350 (out of the total of 6,235) verses had to be confined to a limited number due to the somewhat restrictive criteria of their selection. The rule-based verses were thus identified as ones with a practical import that sought to regulate the manifest and practical aspects of human conduct. This is because legal ordinances are typically concerned with commands

and prohibitions that relate to the externalities of conduct and their provable consequences. The $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ *al-ahkām* were thus confined to practical rulings (*ahkām* ^c*amalī*) whose violation could also be proved by admissible evidence. But since the Qur'an was not meant to be a law book but a source of moral and spiritual guidance, one could conceive its rule-based verses somewhat differently to incorporate, for instance, not only practical rulings, but also verses and sections of the Qur'an on the essence of faith, prophethood, moral themes, encouragement and warning (*targhīb wa tarhīb*), stories and parables and matters of concern to the hereafter and so forth that share a common purpose. All of these could become part of the data that could justify extraction of the $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t$ *al-ahkām* from a much wider selection of verses.¹⁶

The *tafsīr mawdū*^cī approach brings us closer to the idea of constructing a *maqāşid*-based *tafsīr*. One can unite, in other words, the thematic and *maqāşid*-based approaches together through an effort that integrates unity of purpose into thematic unity, thus enhancing the *maqāşidī* content of *mawdū*^cī, or better still, attempt a *tafsīr maqāşidī* as a new genre of *tafsīr*. Note also that thematic *tafsīr* is itself a late-comer to the genres of existing *tafāsīr*, and it is of interest to us here simply because identification of goal and purpose can only be done with a full knowledge of the theme and subject-matter in the first place, and our *tafsīr maqāşidī* can be seen as complementary and supportive of the existing works. The wider framework proposed here for identification of the *āyāt al-aḥkām* would permit in turn, the moral and spiritual teachings of the Qur'an as well as its historical narratives and parables to enrich our enquiry into the identification of *maqāşid*.¹⁷

A word is in order here on the subject of ratiocination, which is concerned, in the $u_{\bar{s}}\bar{u}l$ literature, with identifying the rationale and *`illah* of a ruling of the text, which some would say is not very different to that of identifying the goal and purpose of the text. However, when one looks into the juristic technicalities of $ta^c l\bar{i}l$ and the restrictive approaches the $u_{\bar{s}}\bar{u}l$ scholars have taken towards it, both the rational and $maq\bar{a}sid\bar{i}$ purport of the text tend to be diluted and minimised under the heavy weight of literalism with the overall effect of keeping legal reasoning and ijtihad closely aligned with the literalist readings of the text.¹⁸

The usuli discourse on the identification of effective cause or 'illah of a ruling, known as masālik al-'illah, draws a distinction between *`illah* and *hikmah*, validating the former and disqualifying the latter: the *'illah* must be constant and unchangeable (*mundabit*), but the *hikmah* is changeable and therefore fails to provide a reliable basis of *hukm* and legislation. The *hikmah*, although essentially more logical, is thus not accepted as a substitute for *'illah*. To illustrate, the Qur'an grants the traveller during the fasting month a concession not to fast, but to observe it when he is no longer travelling. The *cillah* of this concession is deemed to be the fact of travelling itself, and not as it were, the hardship that it involves, on the analysis that people tend to vary in their tolerance of hardship. Hence hardship, although the effective cause and hikmah of the concession, is disqualified and travelling itself is identified as the 'correct' 'illah. To take a *maqāşidī* approach to the identification of *cillah*, it is proposed that the *hikmah* should in principle be accepted as a substitute to *'illah.* The purpose of the concession in question is evidently to prevent hardship, and travelling itself can sometimes become (as in our times of fast and comfortable means of transport) rather a juristic facade and less than satisfactory for it to be a valid *`illah*.

MAQĀṢID AND SUNNAH

What has been said concerning a $maq\bar{a}sid\bar{a}$ approach to qur'anic laws, and more specifically to the identification of $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t al-ahk\bar{a}m$, can be extended, mutatis mutandis, to their equivalents in the hadith, namely the $ah\bar{a}d\bar{a}th al-ahk\bar{a}m$. These are also $ah\bar{a}d\bar{a}th$ which lay down practical rulings, commands, and prohibitions that demand performance and constitute the actionable laws ($ahk\bar{a}m$ ^camaliyyah) of the Sunnah. A great deal of the legal hadith support and supplement the legal verses of the Qur'an by way either of elaboration, specification, or qualification of the qur'anic injunctions of concern to human conduct. These may include worship matters (^cibādāt) and civil transactions ($mu^c\bar{a}mal\bar{a}t$), but they also introduce, albeit on a limited scale, new rulings of the Shari^cah on which the Qur'an may be silent.¹⁹

Ahādīth al-ahkām are larger in number compared to the āyāt al-ahkām. According to an estimate attributed to Abū Hāmid al-Ghazāly the legal hadith number at about 1,200 according to the traditional usul methodology of selection. Determining the precise number, whether of the rule-based verses or *ahādīth*, would much depend on the methodology and purpose of the selection.²⁰ Unlike the Qur'an which does not pose any question over the authenticity of its text, the hadith does, and so does the selection of ahadith alahkām from the large bulk of hadith: if one proposes a maqāşidī approach to the selection of ahadith al-ahkam, one would need to verify the authenticity of the hadith in the first place. Yet the issue over authenticity of the *ahādīth al-ahkām* may not be as challenging as that of the bulk of the hadith itself. This is because the ahadith alahkām are generally verified and adopted into the body of figh and the applied laws of the Shari^cah, often endorsed by general consensus and continuous practice.

Since the thematic *tafsīr* (*tafsīr maw* $d\bar{\mu}^c\bar{\imath}$) has already gained general acceptance in the genres of Qur'an hermeneutics, one may propose the same approach to *āyāt al-ahkām*: Thematic unity in the larger body of hadith and chapterisation of its existing collections has to some extent been attempted, just as the so-called Sunan category of hadith purports on the whole to compile only the legal hadith. The effort to ascertain thematic unity in hadith is, moreover, likely to strike a note with that of the $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t al-ahk\bar{a}m$ – since much of the legal hadith reiterate or supplement the *āyāt al-aḥkām*. Thus it is likely that only a smaller number of hadith, in the *ahkām* category at least, would be left for an independent attempt at classification on the basis of theme and purpose. If one were to assimilate the general purpose, spirit and rationale of the hadith consistently with the Qur'an, the criteria of selection of hadith into the ahkām category should roughly be the same as one would propose with regard to the āyāt al-ahkām.

Furthermore, the circumstantial element in hadith is larger compared to the Qur'an as a great deal of hadith are known to have

addressed particular situations that may or may not amount to laying down a general law or *hukm* of the Shari^cah. It is therefore important that in reading the hadith, one is aware of its original occasion and context, and avoid, as Ibn Ashur has warned, the temptation of engaging in literalism. "For holding on to the literalist understanding of Sunnah may miss out on implementing the spirit and purpose thereof, and worse still, if one unwittingly moves in the opposite direction, even through apparent adherence to its text."²¹ Ibn Ashur concurred that a great deal of the Sunnah is concerned with particular cases and situations and cannot be readily considered as a basis for universal legislation. This may well entail frequent recourse to qualification (taqyīd), generalisation (ta^cmīm) and particularisation (takhsis) of the rulings of the Sunnah. This was partly the reason why the Prophet discouraged his Companions from writing down his hadith.²² It is advisable therefore to read the detailed rulings of hadith in light of the general purposes and objectives of the Shari^cah. The Companions understood the Sunnah in this way and the insight they had gained through direct involvement in its teachings - even by allowing themselves the liberality occasionally to move away from the text of a hadith in favour of its purpose. This is illustrated as follows:

a) The Prophet distributed, in line with a qur'anic injunction (8:41), the conquered lands of Khaybar among the Muslim soldiers, but later the caliph 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb resisted the pressing demands of his fellow Companions when he refused to do the same with the fertile lands of Iraq. 'Umar ordered instead that the conquered lands should remain with their owners who should pay the *kharāj* tax. This he did on the analysis that if he distributed the fertile land, the Companions would become settled landowners away from Madinah, and may well neglect jihad. Thus he went against the apparent ruling of the Sunnah and moved in the opposite direction, knowing that this would be in line with the purpose the Prophet himself would have embraced due to the change of circumstances.²³

- b) The same tendency could be seen in the ruling of hadith on price control (*tas^cīr*). The Prophet himself turned down a request from his Companions for price control at a time of price hikes in the market of Madinah and said that fixing commodity prices may prove unfair to commodity suppliers.²⁴ But the opposite of this was ruled by the Successors (*tābi^cūn*), including the so-called 'Seven Jurists of Madinah,' who held that the objective of ensuring fair market prices in their time actually favoured price control of essential foodstuffs. Ibn Taymiyyah (d. 1328), who spoke in support of this latter ruling, commented that the purpose was to ensure fair prices; the original ruling addressed that purpose during the Prophet's time, but that the same purpose could best be achieved by introduction of carefully measured controls on the price of essentials, due to the change of market conditions.²⁵
- c) According to a renowned hadith, "a Muslim does not inherit from an infidel (kāfir), nor an infidel from a Muslim."26 By general consensus (ijma^c), it is held that a non-Muslim does not inherit from a Muslim, and the majority have also held that a Muslim does not inherit from a non-Muslim either. However. many prominent figures among the Companions and Successors, including Muʿādh ibn Jabal, Muʿāwiyah ibn Abī Sufyān, Muhammad ibn al-Hanafiyyah and Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib have held, as Ibn Taymiyyah recounts, that a Muslim may inherit from his non-Muslim relative, as the Prophet himself had allowed this in some cases. To this it is added that kāfir in the above hadith refers to kāfir harbī, one who is engaged in active hostility with Muslims. It is also established on the authority of leading Companions, 'Alī ibn Abī Ţālib and 'Abd Allāh ibn Mas^cūd, that an apostate is inherited from by his Muslim relatives and that their case is not subsumed by the text of the above hadith. It is further added that kāfir harbī in this case also precludes protected non-Muslims (i.e. the dhimmis) as well as apostates and hypocrites (munāfiqūn).27 Ibn Taymiyyah and his disciple Ibn al-Qayyim understood this hadith in the light of a

 $maq\bar{a}sid$ -based analysis that allowing Muslims to inherit from their non-Muslim relatives would encourage non-Muslims to embrace Islam and would not be deterred by the prospect of their preclusion from the estate of their non-Muslim relatives. When it is known that conversion to Islam does not mean loss of inheritance from one's non-Muslim family, it works as an incentive, which is a suitable ground for specification ($takhs\bar{i}s$) of the general terms of the above hadith.²⁸

d) A woman who experiences menstruation during the hajj is allowed to continue, according to the instructions of a hadith, with the rest of the hajj rituals except for circumambulation (tawāf) around the Ka^cbah.²⁹ Ibn Taymiyyah's analysis of this ruling of hadith led him to the conclusion that if the impediment of menstruation were to disqualify the woman from completing her hajj and required her to return from a long distance the same year or the next, it would mean hardship, which is contrary to the spirit and purpose of the Shari'ah. He added that in the Prophet's lifetime it was not too difficult for the women of Madinah to tolerate the inconvenience, but that with the expansion of the territorial domains of Islam, and issues over the physical safety of women travelling alone, plus the additional expense, it would be in line with the goal and purpose of the Shari^cah for her to complete the hajj and the *tawaf*. Ibn Taymiyyah added on a general note that ritual purity is not a prerequisite of *tawaf*, and also that a Shari^cah obligation may be suspended on grounds of intolerable hardship, which is the issue here. However, if the woman concerned can stay in Makkah until the end of her menstruation without much hardship, she must do that, otherwise she is advised to take a bath and do the tawāf.30

These examples serve to show that a specific requirement of the Sunnah is either relaxed or given an alternative interpretation, or even reversed to its opposite, in order to realise a higher purpose and goal of the Shari^cah. One can add to these many more examples

from the Qur'an and the Sunnah. Ibn Taymiyyah who looked into such issues concluded that the textual commands and prohibitions of the Shari^cah do not overrule their *maşlahah* and *maqāşid*-based understanding and import. For God the Most High revealed the Qur'an and sent the Messenger in order to realise ease and repel hardship, corruption and prejudice. One should in principle adhere to the clear injunctions of the Shari^cah at all times, and as far as possible, even if one does not perceive the benefit or harm in them. However, in the event where the harm of a command or prohibition overwhelms its benefit, effort must be made to minimise the harm even if a command of a lower order is abandoned or a less harmful prohibition is committed.³¹

MAQĀŞID AND CIVILISATION

The maqāsid contemplate a welfare-oriented vision of Islamic civilisation for the whole of humanity through their obvious prioritisation of human welfare interests as are featured in the list of essential maaāsid, the darurivvāt. Attention is also drawn in the scriptural sources of Islam to greening the earth and development of its resources. This is an integral part of the role of the Ummah and its vicegerency (istikhlāf) on the earth. Establishing a just social order, promotion of the human intellect through education and scientific advancement, promotion of a strong family unit, creation of wealth and its legitimate acquisition and transfer are integral to humanity's mission of vicegerency and advancement of a humane civilisation (^c*umrān*). Wealth must, however, be prudently managed to enable its owners to "spend on others out of that which He (God) has made you trustees of" (57:7), and "give them (the have-nots) their share of the wealth God has bestowed upon you" (24:33). Earning through lawful work is the principal means of acquisition of wealth in the Shari^cah. All able-bodied individuals are thus obligated to earn their living and avoid becoming a burden on others. "So tell them," the Qur'an commands the Prophet, "to go and work, so that God may see the fruits of your labour, as will His Messenger and the believers" (9:105). The earth is made subservient to

mankind's benefit, and mankind is then asked to "go and travel in its tracts and regions, and partake of the sustenance God has provided" (67:15). Honest work done with the intention to fulfil one's responsibility towards one's family and society is equated with an act of worship that earns God's pleasure: "I shall not let go to waste (without due reward) the work of a worker among you, man or woman [...]" (3:109).

On the prudent management of wealth, Muslims are directed to "let not those who are weak of judgment waste away the wealth God has made a means of sustenance for you" (4:5). Cooperation in good works among peoples and nations is a qur'anic purpose, indeed a universal magsid and responsibility of individuals and communities in Islam (49:13; 5:2). People differ, as the Qur'an affirms, in their natural talents and capabilities, and some are therefore in need of what others may have. Cooperation for reciprocal benefit thus becomes a necessity and a building block of the qur'anic vision of a human civilisation on the earth. That vision also contemplates an appropriate level of distribution of wealth and opportunities among people that derives from beneficial work and cooperation among them. Building the earth through cooperation for people's benefit thus becomes a universal magsid of Islam, which is premised on the general equality of all of its participants. General equality is the purport of the following hadith: "O People! Your Creator is one and you are all descendants of the same ancestor. There is no superiority of an Arab over a non-Arab nor of a black over the red except on the basis of righteous conduct."32

CLASSIFICATION OF MAQĀŞID

Maqāşid have been classified in several ways depending on the viewpoint and purpose of the classification. Classifications are not always without a margin of uncertainty and overlap, yet they are a tool to facilitate better understanding. An overview of the classification of *maqāşid* into the following five types will help advancing a better understanding of the subject: I. From the viewpoint of their relative merit and importance, the maqāşid have been classified into the three categories of essential purposes (darūriyyāt), complementary maqāşid (hājiyāt) and desirabilities (taḥsīniyyāt). Only the first of these have been specified into the five headings of the protection of life, religion, intellect, family and property. This is a well-known classification which is adequately treated in the existing works that need not be elaborated here. The uşūl al-fiqh texts usually treat the maşlaḥah in fuller detail but pay scant attention to the maqāşid. They also tend to use maqāşid and maşāliḥ interchangeably, which is not altogether devoid of ambiguity and confusion. I shall therefore attempt to identify certain lines of distinction between these two closely associated subjects before I continue with the other classifications of maqāşid.

Briefly, the maqāsid command a degree of objectivity and permanence that may or may not obtain in the masalih. Sometimes the *maqāşid* are used as criteria to verify the authenticity and merit of maşlahah. This is reflective in fact of the position taken by al-Ghazāly (d. 1111) and Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 1209) who express reservations over the validity of *maşlahah* as a source of law and judgement but validate it if it secures the magāşid of Shari^cah. Some writers have held the view that maslahah is the cause that leads to the magsid of the Lawgiver. whereas others have described it as a utilitarian concept that looks mainly toward material benefits and may or may not qualify as the magsid of the Lawgiver. For the magasid are likely to have a broader outlook that often rises above utilitarian concerns. And then the masalih also tend to be circumstantial and liable to change according to time and place. Al-Shatibi has thus described the maslahah to be relative and circumstantial (nisbī, idafī) for the most part. To enact a law may be beneficial at one time and not so at another, and even at one and the same time it may be beneficial under some conditions but not so under different ones.33 The magasid have, on the other hand, a quality of constancy and permanence that may be lacking in *maşlahah*. Hence the magāsid provide criteria of validity for maslahah and stand a degree above it. And lastly the *maqāşid* have a stronger textual grounding in the Qur'an and Sunnah. This is not the case with at least one, perhaps the most extensive, variety of *maşlaḥah*, namely the unrestricted *maşlaḥah* (i.e *maşlaḥah mursalah*), although the accredited *maşlaḥah* (*maşlaḥah mu^ctabarah*) is also textually-founded.³⁴

2. From the viewpoint of their scope, the maqāşid have been classified into general purposes (al-maqāşid al-ʿāmmah) and particular goals (al-maqāşid al-khāşşah), to which we may add a third, namely partial purposes (al-maqāşid al-juz'iyyah).35

The general purposes are those that extend to the whole of Shari^cah in all its parts and they are on the whole broad and comprehensive. Realisation of benefit (*maşlaḥah*), prevention of harm and corruption (*darar, mafsadah*), building the earth (*i^cmār al-ard*), administration of justice, and removal of hardship (*raf^c al-haraj*) are examples of the general purposes of Shari^cah. They differ from particular purposes in that the latter contemplate specific areas and subjects of the Shari^cah, such as commercial transactions (*mu^cāmalāt*), crimes and punishments (*^cuqūbāt*), matrimonial law (*munākaḥāt*), worship matters (*^cibādāt*), acts of charity (*tabarru^cāt*) and so forth. The two are not totally separate in that the specific *maqāşid* should observe and comply with the broader objectives of Shari^cah and should not go against them.³⁶

Partial purposes (*al-maqāşid al-juz'iyyah*) may be defined as that which the Lawgiver has intended through each particular ruling of Shari^cah in any area or topic. This is similar to what is known as the effective cause (*cillah*) of a ruling, also referred to as *hikmah* (wise purpose), which the jurist needs to identify in the construction, for example, of analogy (*qiyās*).³⁷ One of the reasons why the *uşūl al-fiqh* jurists have not expatiated on the *maqāşid al-sharī^cah* is that in their view *cillah* is about the same as the *maqşid* of a ruling. Yet the present author has elsewhere argued that this could also mean an attempt to subsume the *maqāşid* under the *uşūl* methodology and thus deny them independent recognition.³⁸ The *cillah* of a ruling may or may not be the same as its purpose. For one thing, *`illah* of a ruling tends to be more grounded in the status quo and existing order of values, whereas its end-goal and purpose may be looking more to the future. Without wishing to engage into details, if one were to apply all the conditions of the *`illah* to the *maqsid*, the whole idea of a *maqsid* is likely to be subjected to unwarranted restrictions.

- 3. The *maqāşid* have also been classified into the purposes of the Lawgiver (*maqāşid al-shāri*^c*ah*) and human purposes (*maqāşid al-mukallaf*). To say that human welfare and benefit are God's illustrious purpose behind the laws of Shari^cah, or pursuit of religious knowledge with the intention of seeking closeness to God, illustrates the former, whereas seeking employment or university qualifications may represent the human purpose of seeking knowledge. It is generally recommended that all competent persons should bring, as far as possible, their own purposes into conformity with the *maqāşid* of the Lawgiver.³⁹
- 4. It is also useful to know that some of the maqāşid are primary (al-maqāşid al-aşliyyah) which the Lawgiver, or a human agent, have originally intended, whereas others are subsidiary (al-maqāşid al-taba^ciyyah) which support and complement the primary maqāşid. Acquisition and transfer of ownership, for instance, represent the primary Shari^cah purposes of a sale contract, whereas wanting to build a house or a garden in a plot of land the buyer has purchased may be said to be a secondary purpose. Similarly the primary Shari^cah purpose of marriage is procreation of the human species, which may or may not materialise in a marriage among elderly persons contracted with the purpose.4°
- 5. Lastly, the maqāşid may be either definitive (qat^cī) or speculative (zannī). The former signify purposes which are based in a clear text of the Qur'an, hadith, or general consensus (ijma^c), whereas the latter may be based on a speculative text, rationality and ijtihad.4^I The definitive purposes of Shari^cah command higher

authority than speculative purposes; in the event of a conflict between them, the former prevail over the latter.

IDENTIFICATION OF MAQĀŞID

This section begins with a brief differentiation of *maqāşid* and *maşāliḥ*, followed by a reference to the underdeveloped state of the *maqāşid*. A more detailed discussion is then attempted to expound the methodology by which the *maqāşid* are ascertained and identified: through the clear text, the human intellect (*caql* – also unrestricted reasoning – *istidlāl*), inductive reasoning (*istiqrā'*), and innate human nature (*fiţrah*).

Maqāşid are often equated with *maşāliḥ* (interests, benefits) and the two are used interchangeably. They do admittedly resemble in many ways but also differ in others. *Maqāşid* are goals that suggest a degree of finality and permanence. Al-Shāțibī thus characterised them as "the fundamentals of religion, basic rules of the revealed law, and universals of belief."⁴² Ibn Ashur similarly described the *maqāşid* as either "certain, or uncertain close to certainty – *qaț^cī aw zannī qarīb min al- qaț^cī.*"⁴³ *Maşāliḥ* are, on the other hand, largely circumstantial and liable to change. Hence the *maqāşid* tend to be a rank above the *maşāliḥ* and in many ways constitute criteria of validity for them.⁴⁴ *Maqāşid* and *maşāliḥ* can be coterminous and convergent but they could also relate to one another in their capacities as means and ends: The *maqāşid* signify higher goals and ends whereas *maşāliḥ* could either be the same as *maqāşid* or may serve as means toward attaining them.

As noted earlier, the legal theory of usul al-fiqh marginalised the maqāsid, which is why the methodology for their identification has also remained underdeveloped. The clear text (of Qur'an and Hadith) is the principal carrier of maqāsid by general consensus. Even though protection of the mind (*caql*) is included in the essential maqāsid (darūriyyāt), Muslim scholars are not in agreement as to whether *caql* can validate a maqsid without the authority of a scriptural proof, or maql. Can rationality alone identify and validate a maqsid and purpose of the Sharicah, and what are the principal indicators and identifiers of maqāsid?

I. Clear text: The strongest evidence that can establish the validity of a maqsid is a definitive text of the Qur'an or Hadith. Failing which, recourse may be had to what al-Shāfi^cī and al-Juwaynī have termed as sound reasoning (*istidlāl*), which ^cIzz al-Dīn ibn ^cAbd al-Salām has termed variously as reason (*al-^caql*), experience (*al-tajribah*) and innate perception (*al-fiţrah*). These are roughly equivalent terms to that of al-Shāțibī's inductive reasoning (*al-istiqrā'*).

Each of the foregoing methods can be used as indicators of *maqāşid*, be it independently from one another or in combination, provided that the following requirements are met:

- (a) In the event where a clear text validates a *maqsid*, and there is no other text that introduces an element of uncertainty and doubt therein.
- (b) The *maqsid* in question is clear of conflict with another *maqsid* of equal standing.
- (c) The maqsid concerned fulfils all four conditions: certainty over its existence (*thubūt*), clarity (*zuhūr* – it can be seen for what it is), constancy (*indibāt* – obtains in all situations), and exclusiveness (*itțirād* – precludes confusion with its similitudes). Plurality of methods by which a maqsid is identified and known adds to credibility, while the use, in the meantime, of a single method does not diminish the value of the result arrived at.45 In the event of a conflict arising between the evidential bases of two maqsids, recourse may be had to the rules of interpretation pertaining to conflict and preference (*al-ta^cārud wa altarjīḥ*).
- 2. Inductive reasoning (istiqrā'): Al-Shāțibī proposed istiqrā' as a reliable identifier of maqāșid next to the clear text. A maqșid or goal of Shari^cah may be identified by a clear text, failing which recourse may be had to a general reading of the text: There may be various textual references to a subject, all of which may be in the nature of allusions rather than decisive declarations. Yet

when read together their collective meaning and weight leaves little doubt as to the purpose on which they concur. A decisive conclusion may, in other words, be drawn from a plurality of speculative expressions. Al-Shāțibī illustrates this by saying that nowhere in the Qur'an is there a specific declaration to say that the Shari^cah has been enacted for the benefit of mankind. Yet this is a definitive conclusion drawn from a general reading of the Qur'an.⁴⁶

Similarly, the Qur'an has nowhere enumerated the five essential $maq\bar{a}sid$ in a clear text, but they are so identified by way of induction and general reading thereof. The inductive method also provides insight into the source evidence on $maq\bar{a}sid$ that can reduce the prospects of error. At times people take strong positions based on weak evidence, such as in the case of child marriage, and guardianship of adult women, claiming them to be Shari^cah requirements, even in the absence of clear evidence. One should in such cases look for evidence in the clear text, failing which one resorts to a general reading of the text and ascertains its purpose. For instance, if human dignity ($kar\bar{a}mah$), equality ($mus\bar{a}w\bar{a}h$), justice (^cadl), and fair treatment (*ihsān*) are the overriding objectives of the Shari^cah, then the question arises as to how they are reflected in the contested positions at issue.

The issue here may be over the proper uses of guardianship ($qiw\bar{a}mah$ and $wil\bar{a}yah$) in contemporary contexts, and evidence shows that abuse of guardianship powers in respect of women of almost all ages has become so extensive as to warrant a fresh enquiry into the sources. In a quest to limit the scope of guardianship only to its valid applications, one may need to attempt a fresh interpretation of the source evidence in the light of the $maq\bar{a}sid$. Abuse of guardianship is marked by its manifest neglect of the human dignity of the ward, and denial of justice and fair treatment to them. This $maq\bar{a}sid$ -based enquiry and ijtihad is warranted simply because many of the abusive applications of guardianship violate the higher goals and purposes of the Shari^cah.

3. Human intellect (^caql): Can we accept human intellect and judgment as validator of *maqasid* side-by-side with the text - or even in the absence of any clear text? Different responses have been given by both the earlier and modern jurists. Few would seem to agree that 'aql alone can validate the maqāşid without any textual evidence. Most have agreed, however, that reason can evaluate human conduct of concern to temporal affairs, but that reason cannot provide a reliable basis of evaluation on devotional matters (*cibādāt*).47 The discourse on this point tends to run parallel, for the most part, with the one that has arisen concerning the proof of maşlahah/maqşid. Al-Ghazāly went on record to say "It is by means of *caql* that people know the benefits of this world."48 Credibility is given, however, al-Ghazāly added, to the intellect of those with sound judgment and knowledge of the custom and culture of society. 'Izz al-Dīn 'Abd al-Salām observed that "temporal benefits and harms are mostly known and identified by *caql*, not only in the Shari^cah of Islam, but in most other legal traditions. A person of sound judgment would know, even before the existence of a revealed text, that realisation of pure benefit or prevention of pure evil is praiseworthy. The learned in most legal traditions, and all the revealed laws, tend to be in agreement on the prohibition of murder, theft, adultery and the like [...]. As for the benefit/harm of concern to the hereafter, these can only be known by means of transmitted proof (naql)."49 Ibn Taymiyyah's view on the authority of *cagl* is similar but adds a reference to innate human nature (al-fitrah)⁵⁰ that also plays a role in the identification of maqāșid, as elaborated below.

Al-Juwaynī discussed the place of sound reasoning (*istidlāl*) in the categories of recognised proofs. The proponents of *istidlāl* were mainly from the Malikī, but also many from the Ḥanafī and Shāfi^cī schools, all of whom accepted *istişlāḥ* (consideration of public interest) as a valid basis of law and judgment in the Shari^cah. The Shāfi^cī school holds *istidlāl* to be a valid basis of judgment even if it cannot be traced to a clear text, provided that it operates close to the meaning and spirit of the established 'roots.'⁵¹ Imām al-Shāfi^cī referred to the precedent of the

Companions saying that leading figures among them exercised flexibility; whenever they could not find a textual ruling on a matter they would resort to sound reasoning – *istidlāl*.⁵² Human intellect (al- 'aal) is informed by the senses, but has the capacity to go beyond the data of the senses, although it falls short of the wider reaches of revelation (al-wahy). The intellect performs a number of functions. It perceives that which is unseen based on that which is seen, derives universals from concrete particulars, recognises self-evident truth, and associates causes and effects. It is a criterion of responsibility, and the criterion by which God has honoured human beings above the rest of His creation. It is also the only means by which human beings can know the Shari^cah and the essence of responsibility and *taklīf*. Human reason is therefore a credible basis of judgment in the absence of revelation, provided that the judgment arrived at is in harmony with the general spirit and guidance of the revealed scripture.

There may be subtle differences between ^caql and wisdom (*hikmah*), yet ^caql should aim at inclusivity and merger with *hikmah*. This may to some extent be a question of the input ^caql can have from culture that can generate wisdom through the light of experience. The lessons drawn from past errors should inform one's perspective on formal logic, *istidlāl* and syllogism that may or may not be endowed in cultural wisdom and the insight gained from experience.

4. *Human nature (al-fiţrah)*: A tendency inheres in the human make up to accept good and reject evil. *Fiţrah* is a qur'anic term denoting a human disposition that inheres in every person and thus universal by the fact of its commonality. The qur'anic assignment of the vicegerency (*istikhlāf* – Qur'an 2:30) of man makes everyone a carrier of a Divine trust and mission to build the earth. *Fiţrah* thus refers to the innate nature of God's trusted vicegerents, all of whom partake in a sparkle of the Divine (Qur'an 38:72), and excel in ranks the rest of His creatures (Qur'an 17:70). This is also manifested in Islam's designation of itself as *dīn al-fiţrah*, a religion that strikes harmony with enlightened human nature.

Maqāṣid al-Sharī^cah, Ijtihad and Civilisational Renewal²⁵

In his *Kitāb al-Najāt*, Ibn Sīnā (d. 1037) tends to equate *fitrah* with intellect (*`aql*) in a passage where he speaks of intuitive intellect (*fitrah*) endowed in someone who is brought into this world without prior exposure to society, its realities and customs. Then he perceives and comprehends concrete realities and cases. Something is then presented to him of which he becomes doubtful, if doubt is possible for him; his innate understanding will not affirm it. If on the other hand, doubt is not possible, his innate understanding must of necessity affirm it. Ibn Sīnā admits, however, that not everything affirmed by *fitrah* is true; what is true is the capacity of innate human reason to discern values, good and bad, in what is perceived by the senses.⁵³

Ibn Ashur linked the *maqāṣid* to *al-fiṭrah*, quoting a qur'anic text, and concluded that both the Shari^cah and its *maqāṣid* bear harmony with *al-fiṭrah*:⁵⁴

And so, set thy face steadfast towards the one true faith, turning away from all that is false, in accordance with the natural disposition (*fitrah*) which God has endowed in humankind. Allow no change to alter (or corrupt) what God has endowed. (Qur'an 30:30)

Natural reason is thus an inherent endowment, and Islam bears essential harmony with it. It is evident nevertheless that God has not left human affairs to be judged by reason alone.

For Ibn Ashur, *fiţrah* also refers to the natural disposition (*khilqah*) and the natural order (*nizām*) that God endowed in every creature. The human *fiţrah* consists both of inward and outward manifestations. Walking on two feet is just as much an aspect of man's physical *fiţrah* as is his intellect and reason. Similarly relating effects to their causes and drawing conclusions from them is an intellectual *fiţrah*. He argued that the Shari^cah injunctions aim at harmony with human nature but also seek to liberate and enlighten it against superstition and corrupting influences. For example, survival of the species, cooperation for the common good, protection of life and lineage all correspond to natural human proclivities. Similarly, building the earth and

a virtuous civilisation therein, pursuit of knowledge and intellectual creativity correspond with the human *fitrah*.⁵⁵ Islam does not aim to suppress nor eradicate these nor the innate human nature.

Ibn Ashur refers to the laws of Hammurabi, of ancient Egypt, Moses, Zoroaster, as well as ancient India etc., but adds that none had the characteristics of universality that would transcend their geographic and socio-cultural confines in which they appeared. Islam on the other hand emerged in an era and setting that had preserved its simplicity in isolation from major civilisational spheres of the ancient world. Islam emerged in an Arabian setting but never confined its outlook to that context, and as the Qur'an proclaimed, brought a universal message for human guidance. Islam recognised the diversity of peoples and cultures, their laws and languages (Qur'an 5:48; 30:22; 2:136) and encouraged recognition and friendship among them (49:13). The Muslim community is described as the mid-most community (ummatan wasațan), committed to moderation and justice (2:143). "The essence of all virtues (fadā'il) and sound fitrah lies in moderation in all matters."56 Ibn Ashur's commentator, El-Messawi, observed that through Ibn Ashur's understanding of *fitrah*, one can see how he conceived the universality of Islam and "the proposition that the *sharī*^cah objectives (maqāşid) are grounded in man's *fitrah* [...] [signifying] a cardinal attribute of the shari cah."57

The study of *fitrah* should enable one to identify what it is one must protect, how Islam protects it, what happens when one loses it, and how does one restore the natural balance once disturbed. We must examine various standards of "modern progress" that may be out of line with the healthy development of human *fitrah*, as I illustrate below.

(a) Some have urged the use of pre-school facilities for children at an early age of three so as to help them become quickly intelligent. Entering school at age three may be good socialisation, but it is premature, and may even deprive children of their childhood. The *fitrah* of small children is that they learn by playing, not by studying. Excessive after-school tutoring also tends to rob children of their natural inclinations; they then grow up deprived and emotionally imbalanced.

- (b) The incessant drive for technological progress has taken industrial powers to over-utilisation of natural resources. The urge to gain a technological edge over a rival industry or country often results in disgraceful sacrifice of human lives and values. The arms manufacturers of the world stand out for their total disregard of natural human rights and values. Environmental degradation and the everincreasing incidents of natural disasters are proof of these excesses and those of the oil-producing countries and companies in their aggressive drive for commercial gain. The natural balance demanded by *fitrah* has been disturbed, and in some cases to the point of irreversibility.
- (c) Mainstream media and advertisements have turned women into sex symbols that denigrate their human dignity. One can advertise an elegant car, for instance, for what it is without the addition of a half naked girl to the picture. Yet the imbalances of greed and abusive advertising exceed natural *fitrah*, and worse still, puts a car above the price of human dignity.
- (d) Similar tendencies of upsetting the natural balance of values could be seen in the overtures of feminist movements. In their quest for freedom, the children's needs for their mothers' time and attention, and priorities of motherhood are sometimes neglected, and society bears the costs. Children grow up emotionally imbalanced. They play in motorcycle gangs, dancing in nightclubs, taking drugs and so on.

SCOPE OF MAQĀŞID: UŞŪL AL-FIQH AND BEYOND

Our attempt to open the scope and theory of the maqāşid suggests the use of *maqāşid* as criteria, in a broad sense, for evaluation of all rulings and decisions of concern to the Shari^cah – especially those of fatwa and ijtihad in conjunction with new issues. Traditional Islamic scholarship accorded this status to usul al-figh which is used as criteria of the validity for juridical decision-making and research. We now propose to assign this role to the maqāsid while in the meantime using the resources of usul al-figh that often endorse and enrich the scope of legal reasoning and ijtihad. The purpose is to strike a balance between the rules of the Shari^cah and its higher goals and purposes while ensuring in the meantime that our formulas and methods do not engage in burdensome technicalities. Methodological accuracy is undoubtedly important, yet it should not be allowed to distance the researcher from the goals and purposes of the Shari^cah – something that the *usūl al-fiqh* methodology has been unable to avoid. Human welfare should remain as the megapurpose of the Shari^cah. To quote al-Shāțibī:

Since it is established that the rules of the *shari*^c*ah* aim to serve human interest, it follows that human actions should be judged on its basis [...]. When an act is legitimate in both essence and appearance, no difficulty arises. However, if an act is consistent [with the law] in appearance yet contrary to human interest, it is invalid, and anyone who acts contrary to human welfare is engaged in an illegitimate exercise.⁵⁸

Ibn Rushd (d. 1198) did not specifically write on *maqāşid*. However, the conclusion of his important book, *Bidāyat al-mujtahid*, identified achievement of moral and spiritual virtues such as gratitude, purity, justice and generosity as the ultimate objectives of all law. He similarly noted in his *Faşl al-Maqāl* that the ultimate purpose of the law is simply to advocate the truth and encourage acting upon it. In an interesting essay on Ibn Rushd, al-Raysuni observed that Ibn Rushd called attention through these statements to the cardinal purposes of the Shari^cah (*al-maqāşid al-^culyā li al-sharī^cah*), the moral and spiritual aspects of conduct that are emphasised in the Qur'an and accentuate the merits of faith, purity and wisdom (cf. Qur'an 62:2).⁵⁹ The key Hanbalī scholar, Ibn al-Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, also emphasised the primacy of ethical norms to the whole structure of Islamic values:

The *sharī*^c*ah* is founded in wisdom and realisation of people's welfare in this life and the next. It is all about justice, mercy, and the common good. Thus any ruling that replaces justice with injustice, mercy with its opposite, common good with mischief, and wisdom with indiscretion does not belong to the *sharī*^c*ah*, even if it is claimed to be so according to some interpretations.⁶⁰

Ibn Ashur identified the greatest purpose (*al-maqsid al-a^czam*) of the Shari^cah as "achievement of well-being and integrity and prevention of harm and corruption."⁶¹ He further identified it as the general objective (*al-maqsid al-^cām*) of the Shari^cah to "preserve normal order among the Ummah and perpetuate its well-being and integrity through the well-being and integrity of [...] the whole of the human race." On the universality of the *maqāşid*, Ibn Ashur further observed:

The *sharī*^c*ah* aims at preservation of the world order and regulation of the people's conduct in a way that protects against corruption and collapse. This can only be realised through the promotion of benefit and prevention of harm in all their manifestations.⁶²

Ibn Ashur is clearly not too concerned with the juristic aspects of *maqāşid*; "he is rather trying to capture its civilisational dimension, cultural and political significance as a foundational framework of his thought."⁶³ Ibn Ashur's contemporary, Allal al-Fasi, also identified as a cardinal purpose of the Shari^cah:

To develop and populate the earth and maintain peace and order among people. The well-being of the planet earth and its usefulness for human habitat can be assured through devotion to right conduct by all those who bear the Divine trust of vicegerency. It is also to ensure that people act justly toward one another and observe the standards of moral integrity; that they reform all that which need to be reformed, tap the resources of the earth, and plan for the common good of all. 64

Al-Raysuni concluded that the centrality of human welfare to the *maqāşid* is a shared position of the majority of jurists across the *madhāhib*, with the exception perhaps of the Zāhirīs, who differ with it not in principle, but in the degree to which they use it. The *maqāşid* are thus identified as criteria of evaluation of legal rulings obtained through ijtihad and interpretation. Al-Shāțibī also emphasised paying attention to the particular side by side with the universal objectives of Islam – and *vice versa*.⁶⁵

This integrated approach to *maqāsid*-based research and ijtihad is further extended to the treatment of means and ends so as to avoid disparity and divergence between them. Questions arose and responses given as to whether the means to a command (*al-amr*), obligation (*wājib*), and prohibition (*harām*) should also be seen as integral to the ends that they serve. In response it is said that the supplementary aspects of commands and prohibitions are indeed integral to their ends and purposes. Thus according to a legal maxim of Shari^cah "what is indispensable for the accomplishment of a *wājib* becomes a part of that *wājib*, and the means to *harām* also becomes *harām*."⁶⁶

Another benefit of the wider use of the *maqāṣid* proposed here is to minimise the scope of disagreement in ijtihad and the differential conclusions that Muslim schools and scholars have often derived through ijtihad, *istidlāl* (open reasoning) and other *uṣūl al-fiqh* doctrines. One could expect wider levels of agreement if the *uṣūl* doctrines are read, not as independent tools and formulas, but in the light of their higher goals and purposes. It is not unusual, for example, to see differences in the application of analogy (*qiyās*) by different jurists who derive differential results that stand in questionable relationship with their original objective and purpose. Had the leading *madhāhib* agreed over the primacy of *maqāṣid* and accorded them due prominence, greater uniformity in their rulings and interpretations could be expected.⁶⁷ According to a survey report on the Sunnite and Shiite applications of maqāşid, it was found that their differences are minimal. Both tend to discuss "the same topics: *ijtihād*, *qiyās*, *huqūq*, *qiyām*, *akhlāq* and so on, refer to the same jurists and books: al-Juwaynī's Burhān, Ibn Bābawayh's 'Ilal al-Sharā'i^c, al-Ghazāly's Mustaşfā, al-Shāțibī's Muwāfaqāt, and Ibn Ashur's Maqāşid – and use the same theoretical classifications – masālih, darūrāt, hājiyāt, tahsīniyyāt, maqāşid ʿāmmah, maqāşid khāşşah and so on."⁶⁸ It is then added that most of the juridical differences between the Sunnite and Shiite schools are due to their differences over the āhād (solitary) hadith and the different conclusions drawn from them.

"A maqāsidī approach to jurisprudence," as Jasser Auda commented, "is a holistic approach that does not restrict itself to [any] one narration or partial ruling, but rather refers to general principle and common ground. Implementing the higher purposes of unity and reconciliation of Muslims has a higher priority over implementing *fiqhī* details."⁶⁹ The leading Lebanese Ayatollah, the late Muhammad Mahdi Shams al-Din proscribed hostile disagreement and aggression along the Shiite-Sunnite lines of division, "based on the higher and fundamental purposes of reconciliation, unity and justice."⁷⁰ Without exaggeration, unity among the Ummah is one of the cardinal goals of Islam – even if the maqāsid discourse of earlier times has not articulated it as such, it must be clearly identified now. Islam speaks of *tawhīd*, the Oneness of the Creator, and by implication also of the oneness of humanity.

Islam provides numerous theological and juridical grounds for the unity of the Ummah. Yet much of the $us\bar{u}l$ al-fiqh literature was written during the height of Abbasid power when the Caliphate of Baghdad ruled over the Muslims under one leadership, hence the relative absence of a focus on Muslim unity at that time. The emphasis then was on the opposite of unity. In the era of ijtihad (first four centuries of Islam), Muslim scholars encouraged diversity in their attempt to propose many a new *madhhab*, doctrine and movement to enrich the scope of interpretation and ijtihad. Yet unwittingly perhaps, ijtihad was used as an instrument of disagreement (*ikhtilāf*) more than that of consensus (ijma^c). What seemed desirable to our thought leaders of the past has become rather a difficult challenge for the Muslim Ummah of today. Colonialism and its aftermath undoubtedly left a legacy of divisiveness and seeds of many problems for the renewed unity of the Ummah. There is a greater need now for consensus than *ikhtilāf*; our *culamā* and leaders are therefore advised to nurture consensus and unity in their deliberations. This can be achieved even better by taking a purposive or *maqāsidī* approach to legal enquiry and research.

THE SCOPE OF MAQĀŞID REVISITED

A valid concern exists as to where one draws the line in one's attempt at expanding the scope of the maqāsid, and how does one distinguish the valid from spurious additions. One observer noted that research in *maqāşid* has advanced at a rapid pace giving rise to a methodological problem as to the viability of many of its findings. That the new additions made to the initial list of five essential maqāsid by scholars like Ibn Ashur, Muhammad al-Ghazali, Gemal Atiyya, Abd al-Majid al-Najjar and many others "opened the door very wide to include other [new] magasid that seem to increase unreservedly. The question is whether all these are genuine magāșid."71 It is then stated that al-Shāțibī's identification of the leading five maqāşid, as well as his classification of maqāşid/maşāliķ into the three classes of essential, complementary and desirable (darūrī, hājī, tahsīnī) was done through induction as there is no text either in the Qur'an or hadith to enumerate or classify them as such. Al-Shātibī's conclusions were based on conceptual induction of the common meaning (istigrā' ma'nawī) of the numerous references to these (five maqāșid) in the Qur'an and hadith.72 It is then recommended that *maqāsid* should be identified by the same methodology that the 'ulamā' of $us\bar{u}l$ have used for the identification of 'illah – thus mentioning sabr and taqsim (isolation and assignment), and also takhrij al-manāț (extraction of cillah). To put it simply, maqāșid are to be identified in accordance with the usul methodology for identification of *cillah*, which would effectively place the maqāșid back under the umbrella of the conventional usul al-figh. The advice of caution so given is valid. But recourse to the *uşūl* methodology would be tantamount to inviting the problematics of those hallowed methodologies, which actually impeded rather than encouraged originality and ijtihad .⁷³ Besides, the *maqşid* is not the same as *cillah*: whereas *cillah* looks basically to the existing status quo, a *maqşid* goes beyond that, and one would not want to burden the *maqāşid* with the same technicalities as the *uşūl al-fiqh* applied to identification of *cillah*.

It is well to remember that al-Shāțibī himself tried to avoid the usul methodology of 'illah as he viewed the maqasid differently to *cillah*: his inductive reasoning involved a quest for broader meanings and common conceptual denominators. The scholar-mujtahid thus draws a general principle from his observation of a number of smaller incidents. Moreover, when someone of the standing of Ibn Ashur adds fitrah, or when al-Qaradawi adds justice and freedom, and al-Najjar protection of the environment to the range of *maqāsid* – they have presumably done so in light of their general knowledge and insight into the sources of Islam. They have not subsumed the maqāșid under the ușul methodologies of cillah. The present writer is not advising that either. What is suggested here is to observe the textual guidelines of Islam but also to use induction (istigrā'), unrestricted reasoning (istidlal), human intellect (^caql), and innate human nature (fitrah) as indicators and identifiers of magasid. It is advisable also to preserve the inherent versatility and dynamism of maqāşid in tandem with our quest for improvement, civilisational renewal and reform.

I now propose to review the scope of *maqāsid* from its designated list of five towards an open-ended scale of values. This is because *maqāsid* in the sense of goals and purposes of the Shari^cah can logically not be limited to a particular number, simply because the Shari^cah itself is not limited in that order. Our understanding of the Shari^cah is one of its continuing relevance, development and growth through independent reasoning (ijtihad), renewal and reform (*tajdīd*, *islāh*). Hence, the goals and purposes of the Shari^cah must also remain an evolving chapter of the juristic and civilisational edifice of Islam. Ibn Taymiyyah (d. 1328), who attempted to widen the scope of the *maqāşid* so long ago, observed that anyone who reads the Qur'an will find a variety of other values that also merit consideration well beyond the scope of the five essentials. Thus he added such other themes as fulfilment of contracts, trustworthiness (*amānah*), honouring one's neighbours, sincerity, and moral rectitude, and maintained that *maqāşid* are open-ended and evolving.74 Ibn Taymiyyah's approach has been supported by leading twentieth-century jurists, including Ibn Ashur, Muhammad al-Ghazali, al-Qaradawi, al-Raysuni, Attia, Muhammad Siraj, Khamlishi and many others.75

Al-Qaradawi added to the five leading *maqāṣid* such other values as justice, human dignity and human rights, especially the rights of the oppressed, freedom, and social welfare assistance, all of which find support in the Qur'an.⁷⁶ Ghazali, Khamlishi, Attia and Siraj also made a strong case for the inclusion of equality and justice among the higher *maqāṣid*. Siraj ranked equality only slightly below freedom and justice. The starting point is justice, which is however not possible without equality.⁷⁷ I also propose world peace, economic development, science research, and fundamental constitutional rights to be added to the leading *maqāṣid*.⁷⁸

Ibn Ashur further observed that the conventional *maqāşid* are on the whole premised on the well-being of individuals, thus leaving out well-being of the Muslim community as a *maqşid*. Since the Ummah's well-being and international standing depend on its economic and scientific success, these should also be included in the *maqāşid*. And then again, if the well-being of the Ummah necessitates its unity, this too should be included.⁷⁹ Al-Qaradawi also wrote:

I believe there is a category of $maq\bar{a}sid$ which has not been duly recognised, namely those that concern the society at large. For if most of the $maq\bar{a}sid$ are related to the individual, such as preservation of the individual's religion, life, faculty of reason, material wealth etc., then where do we stand with regard to such other goals as freedom, equality and justice, and how are they to be evaluated?⁸⁰ Muhammad al-Ghazali posed the question: Are we not entitled to benefit from the 14 centuries of Islamic history? Corrupt rule over the centuries led to baneful outcomes. Hence we could add freedom and justice to the five essentials. Justice is a cardinal objective of Islam based on the unequivocal authority of the Qur'an and Sunnah. Similarly, the affairs of community and state can hardly be regulated without the guarantee of freedom. Since the Qur'an advocates freedom, it too should be recognised as a goal and *maqsid* of the Shari^cah.⁸¹

Al-Raysuni observed that the existing list of essentials is based on ijtihad and so is the idea of raising their number beyond the initial five. There are other vital interests whose importance the religion has unequivocally affirmed, there remaining no reason why they too should not be added to the five recognised *maqāsid*.⁸²

MEANS AND ACCOMPLISHERS (WASĀ'IL WA MUKAMMILĀT) OF MAQĀŞID

This aspect of the maqāșid methodology is not well-known, yet it is clearly important for a holistic understanding of magasid. Magasid and the means that secure them are inter-related so much so that the knowledge of the one without the other would leave open the possibility of errors in the understanding of Shari^cah. This complementarity of means and purposes is underscored in Bin Bayyah's broad observation that the Shari^cah in its entirety consists of maqāșid and *wasā'il*. Whereas the latter are liable to change in tandem with various factors, the former tend to be more stable and less liable to oscillation.⁸³ The magasid are, moreover, desired in themselves, whereas the *wasā'il* are means that beget the *maqāşid* and may not as such be desired in themselves. Yet the means are often subsumed under their purposes, depending on the strength or weakness of their linkage: If the means in question be indispensable to securing their relevant maqāsid, then according to the renowned legal maxim: "that without which a *wājib* (obligation command) cannot be accomplished also become a part of that wājib"84 and both would

be covered by the same rules. This maxim refers, however, to means that is instrumental and direct to a *maqsid* but not to ones that may only be attached to a subsidiary or incidental aspect thereof.⁸⁵ A possible misunderstanding can arise from confusing the means with the purpose, which can result either in the neglect of the *maqsid*, or exaggeration in the importance of it means. Hence a correct placement of the one in relationship with the other calls for a degree of jurisprudential insight.⁸⁶

The accomplishers are, broadly speaking, an addition to the *maqāşid* and not a separate category thereof as they permeate the entire range of *maqāşid*, be it essential (*darūrī*), complimentary (*hājī*), or desirable (*tahsīnī*) and so forth.

The means and accomplishers to a *magsid* may have been identified in the Qur'an or hadith, failing which they may be identified by recourse to rationality and ijtihad. To illustrate the accomplisher of the essential, or *darūrī*, we note that the Qur'an enjoins that a future obligation (dayn), or a mortgage (al-rahn) should be documented and reduced into writing (2:283). This is to ensure preservation of property (hifz al-mal), which is one of the essential goals. Documentation in this case is not a goal in itself, but a means toward the protection of an essential magsid. Then by way of analogy the same requirement is extended to all contracts and transactions, which should also be documented so as to protect them against possible disputation. Similarly, the text stipulates marriage as a means of realising the magsid of reproduction (al-nasl, al-nasab) but then the details that are attached to marriage, such as a valid contract, dower, maintenance, guardianship and the like are the accomplishers of marriage, some of which have also been specified by the text of the Qur'an and hadith.

To illustrate the accomplisher of a complementary goal (*mukam-mil al-hājī*), we may refer to contractual options (*al-khayārāt*, sing. *khayār*) that can be inserted, on the authority of hadith, in a contract of sale. Upon concluding a sale, for instance, the purchaser may stipulate an option that he will ratify the deal in two or three days. The permissibility of sale is a complementary (*hājī*) interest or means in relationship to the protection of property (*hifz al-māl*) and

inserting an option into a sale contract ensures that it is validly concluded and free of fraud, misrepresentation and *gharar*. To illustrate the accomplisher of a *taḥsīnī*, or a desirable goal, we may refer to all legitimate means that keep the market place clear of transactions over unclean, poisonous and harmful substances, or which ensure that the passage-ways in the market place are not overcrowded.⁸⁷

It is a condition of the accomplisher, or *mukammil*, however, that it does not exceed nor overrule the initial goal and purpose which it seeks to accomplish. Food, for example, is a means for preservation of life; however, it is not acceptable for it to be transformed into a *maqsid* such that one lives to eat rather than eating to live. This example can be extended to making money, obtaining a house and means of transport etc., all of which are accomplishers and means. If people fail to associate them properly with their purposes, the danger would arise of them becoming end-goals in themselves.⁸⁸

To take our previous example of sale and option again, the purpose of the option as a *mukammil* is to prevent uncertainty and ignorance (i.e., *gharar*) in the sale at issue, but if one were to exaggerate the *mukammil* and demand total exclusion of all ignorance and *gharar*, it would be difficult to achieve the purpose, and may even obstruct the sale altogether. For a slight amount of *gharar* is unavoidable and usually tolerated in many transactions, including sale.⁸⁹

In the event there be numerous means for the realisation of a single purpose, the one that is most likely to secure the purpose in a complete, prompt and direct manner shall be selected. Should there be a situation, however, where several available means are equally good in order to realise the *maqsid* in question, then any one or more may be selected for the purpose. The Shari'ah thus provides certain guidelines with regard to the selection of means, this being an area, however, where greater flexibility and choice is granted over the selection of means. Hence the scholar/judge may make appropriate decisions over them in the light of surrounding circumstances.⁹⁰

MOHAMMAD HASHIM KAMALI

MAQĀŞID AND IJTIHAD

Muhammad al-Tahir ibn Ashur, the twentieth century author of the landmark work bearing the title, Maqāsid al-Sharī^cah al-Islāmiyyah, has observed that knowledge of the maqāsid is indispensable to ijtihad in all of its manifestations.91 Some 'ulamā' who confined the scope of their ijtihad only to literal interpretations have found it possible, Ibn Ashur added, to project a personal opinion into the words of the text and fell into error as they were out of line with the general spirit and purpose of the surrounding evidence.92 This may be illustrated by reference to shortcomings that are detected in some rulings of the Zāhirī school and those of the hadith scholars. One of the reasons for such errors is that reported speech reduced into writing often loses contextual connotations and features of both the speaker and the conveyor. Notwithstanding the greater accuracy of the written record, the speaker's direct speech to his listeners is expressive of his intention more clearly than his reported speech by others. This would explain why some scholars fall into errors when they focus all their attention on text, and confine the process of deriving the rules $(ahk\bar{a}m)$ of Shari'ah from their source evidence to the minutia of textual analysis. Speakers and reporters admittedly vary on the score of accuracy, and certain types of speech are more open to interpretation than others, yet no text has, in any human language, been sufficient by itself to indicate the purpose of the speaker in such a way as to preclude all doubt.93

Many writers have, for instance, reported Imam al-Shafi^ci to have said: "Whenever the authenticity of a hadith from the Messenger of God is verified, that is my *madhhab*." This has prompted Ibn Ashur to comment that "a learned *mujtahid* is unlikely to make this kind of statement." Available evidence from al-Shafi^ci and the Shafi^ci School leaves little doubt that it is distorted. For such an attribution to al-Shafi^ci can be taken to mean also that "when you see a ruling of my *madhhab* then know that it is tantamount to an authentic hadith."94 Abd Allah bin Bayyah has similarly noted weaknesses in al-Shafi^ci extualist orientations. This is illustrated by a reference to al-Shafi^ci's views concerning financial transactions during the Prophet's time, which were based on gold, silver and wheat as units of value. Al-Shafi^cī has, in turn, considered this to be textuallyordained regardless of customary and circumstantial changes: What was the law then to al-Shafi^cī must be the law today! Yet al-Shafi^cī's disciples have adjusted that position, Bin Bayyah adds, when they spoke of the reality of prevailing custom. The Shafi^cī school has in reality undergone major changes in subsequent centuries, especially between the fourth to sixth centuries AH/tenth to twelfth CE partly due to the more rationalist influences of Imam al-Haramayn al-Juwaynī and his disciple Abū Hāmid al-Ghazāly (d. IIII). This only shows, Bin Bayyah concludes, the corrective influence of the *maqāşid* on ijtihad, which can hardly be overestimated, and that the position is hardly any different in our time.⁹⁵

Ibn Ashur has also referred to what is attributed to Imam Ahmad Ibn Hanbal that "a weak hadith is better than a ruling of analogy $(qiy\bar{a}s)$."⁹⁶ This too is unsound as a weak hadith carries the possibility of a lie and the harm of reliance on it is greater than what can arise from an analogy. We are therefore certain, Ibn Ashur stated, that the said statement is a distortion of what Ahmad ibn Hanbal has really said. This is enough to show how the true purpose of a reported statement, or a hadith, can be distorted if reliance is only made on written words in disconnect with what the speaker had originally intended.97

Another commentator essentially elaborates the same point to say that knowledge of *maqsid al-sharī*^c*ah* is instrumental for a *mujtahid* in at least two situations: Firstly, when he undertakes ijtihad concerning interpretation of a speculative text (*naṣṣ zannī aldalālah*) which is not self-contained as to its precise meaning. The text may be speculative with regard either to its meaning, or its authenticity, or both. Secondly, when the scholar conducts ijtihad over an issue on which no textual ruling exists in the first place. In both situations, the *mujtahid* needs to ascertain the *maqāşid* of Shari^cah as an aid to textual interpretation and ijtihad. The wording of a text may, moreover, convey a variety of meanings, and it is possible that one or more of these are in conflict with another text. To be able to ascertain the preferable meaning, the *mujtahid* would certainly need to be knowledgeable of the higher purposes of Shari ${}^{\rm c}{\rm ah.9^8}$

With reference to *zakah* (poor due), Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah has discussed the different views of the *'ulamā* on whether the *zakah* on commodities such as wheat and dates must be given in kind or could it also be given in their monetary equivalents. The Hanafīs have validated giving of *zakah* in monetary equivalents but al-Shafi^cī has held otherwise. The Hanafī view is founded on the analysis that the purpose of *zakah* is to satisfy the need of the poor and this can also be achieved by paying the monetary equivalent of a commodity. Ibn Qayyim has likewise observed regarding the *sadaqat al-fitr* (charity given at the end of the fasting month of Ramadan) that there are hadiths on the subject which refer sometimes to dates and other times to raisins or food-grains as these were the staple food of Madinah and its environs at the time. The common purpose in all of these was to satisfy the need of the poor rather than to confine its payment in a particular commodity for all times.99

To give another example, Imām Mālik (d. 795) was asked about a person who paid his *zakah* ahead of time, that is, prior to the expiry of one year, whether he was liable to pay it again at the end of the year. Mālik replied that he was and he drew an analogy with the ritual prayer (*salah*). If someone performs his prayer before its due time, he must perform it again in its proper time. Subsequent Mālikī jurists, including Ibn al-ʿArabī (d. 1148) and Ibn Rushd (d. 1126), have reversed this position and stated that early payment of *zakah* was permissible. There was, they added, a difference between *salah* and *zakah* in that the former was bound to specific times, but no such time had been stipulated for the payment of *zakah*. Hence *zakah* may be paid earlier, especially if it is prepaid by only a few weeks or even longer.¹⁰⁰

Mujtahids and judges have occasionally issued decisions in disputed matters, which were found, upon further scrutiny, to be discordant with the goals and objectives of Shari^cah. Instances of this are encountered with reference to contracts. A contract may have been duly signed and made binding on the parties but later it proves to be unfair to one of the parties due to unexpected change

of circumstances. In that eventuality the judge and *mujtahid* can hardly ignore the changes and insist merely on the obligatoriness of contract on purely formal grounds. For a contract is no longer the governing law of contracting parties if it proves to be unjust. Such a contract must be set aside, and justice, which is the goal and *maqsid* of the Lawgiver, must be given priority over an untenable contract.¹⁰¹ Instances of conflict between the overriding objectives of Shari^cah and a particular ruling thereof can also arise with reference to the rulings of analogy (*qiyās*). A rigid adherence to *qiyās* in certain cases may lead to unsatisfactory results, hence recourse may be had to considerations of equity (*istiḥsān*) in order to obtain an alternative ruling that is in harmony with objectives of Shari^cah.¹⁰²

With reference to statutory law, Salim al-Awa has drawn attention to the strictures that emanate from the ever so prevailing "enslavement to the text $(al-`ub\bar{u}diyyah li'l-nus\bar{u}s)$ " that can be far removed from the true intent of the legislator. This manner of abiding by the text is all the more of a requirement when the legal text is explicit and conveys clear meaning. The Cassation Court of Egypt has thus held that reference to the purposes (maqāsid) of the law is made only when there is ambiguity in the text but not when the text is clear and unambiguous. Yet the same court has frequently emphasised the need to ascertain the intent and purpose of the legislator above and beyond the wordings of text.¹⁰³

The High Constitutional Court of Egypt has more emphatically upheld the importance for the judge and jurist of discovering the purposes of the legislature in the interpretation of a constitutional text. Yet it has also stressed that constitutional text should be read in light of socio-economic conditions that prevailed at the time of its enactment and not the ones that emerged long after that.¹⁰⁴ On a comparative note, al-Awa also observed that the fiqh discourse on *maqāşid* is wider and pays attention to a structure of ideas, values and approaches that are often lacking in their parallel expositions of the era of binding statutory laws.¹⁰⁵

The late Lebanese scholar, Sheikh Muhammad Mahdi Shams al-Din, drew attention to the finite nature of the text of the Qur'an

and the Sunnah and the infinitude of actual real life issues experienced by individuals and societies at all times. The *maqāşid* evidently play a role in moderating the rigidities of a binding legal text. The limitations of a binding text can be meaningfully addressed, Sham al-Din recommends, through granting a more prominent role to *maqāşid*-oriented ijtihad.¹⁰⁶

Another feature of the *maqāsid* which is important for ijtihad is the attention a *muitahid* must pay to the end result and consequence of his ruling. For a fatwa or ijtihad would be deficient if it fails to contemplate its own consequences (ma'ālāt). We note in the Sunnah of the Prophet instances where the Prophet paid attention to the consequence of his ruling often in preference to other considerations. For example, the Prophet avoided changing the location of the Ka^cbah to its original foundations which the patriarch Prophet Abraham had laid. The pre-Islamic Arabs of Makkah had evidently changed that location. When 'A'ishah suggested to the Prophet that he could perhaps restore the Ka^cbah to its original position, he responded: 'I would have done so if I didn't fear that this may induce our people into disbelief.'107 In this case, the Prophet evidently did not take what would be thought to be the normal course, that is, to restore the Ka^cbah to its original foundations, because of the adverse consequences that were feared as a result of so doing.

The normal course in the context of crimes and penalties is to apply a punishment whenever the cause and occasion for it is present. There may be cases, however, where pardoning the offender appears a preferable course to take, and it is for the judge to pay attention to them and reflect them in his judgement. Al-Shāțibī has in this connection drawn a subtle distinction between the normal *`illah* (effective cause) that invokes a particular ruling in a given case, and what he terms as verification of the particular *`illah* (*taḥqīq almanāţ al-khāş*) in the issuance of judgement and ijtihad. The scholar and *mujtahid* may be investigating the normal *`illah* and identify it based on the testimony, for example, of an upright witness, but such an enquiry may take a different course when he notes that the witness in question comes from a certain group, family or profession that may render his testimony questionable. The judge needs, therefore, to be learned not only in the law and specific evidence, but also to have acumen and insight to render judgement that is enlightened by both the overall and special circumstances of each case.¹⁰⁸

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This essay has engaged in the methodology of *maqāşid* and the search for additional indicators for their identification as well as widening their scope and application to the broader civilisational objectives of Islam. The evidence I have presented and reviewed sustains the following policy recommendations:

- The Shari^cah plays an instrumental role in negotiating the currents of reformist thought and perimeters of their acceptability in the Muslim world. Civilisational renewal can become a more engaging prospect if it is anchored in a suitable Shari^cah jurisprudential framework, and the *maqāşid* serve to provide that.
- Civilisational renewal is broad and far-reaching, which may give rise to detailed issues that demand credible answers. The *uşūl* methodology has historically provided the criteria of credibility, yet it bears the vestiges of a different era and falls short of accommodating the demands of contemporary challenges facing the Ummah. Compared to the *uşūlī* doctrines, the *maqāşid* provide a more promising prospect and methodology to find valid Shari^cah-based responses to such issues.
- Critics have often questioned the methodological accuracy and scope of *maqāşid*. This essay has discussed some of the weaknesses of the *maqāşid* methodology in an attempt to make it more engaging and self-contained. It does not, however, pretend to offer a comprehensive coverage of all issues. Some relevant issues have been addressed elsewhere in my previous works. Here I refer to three of my other publications: "Goals and Purposes (*Maqāşid*) of Shari^cah: History and Methodology" (2008); "*Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah* Made Simple" (2008); and "Law and Ethics in Islam: the Role of the *Maqāşid*" (2009).¹⁰⁹

- We do not propose to sever the links between the *maqāṣid* and the *uṣūl al-fiqh*, but maintain that the one can benefit and enrich the other. Yet we also do not propose to subsume *maqāṣid* under the *uṣūl* methodology, which tends to be burdened with technicality. The *maqāṣid* provides an open and evolving chapter of the Shari^cah that can grow in tandem with the needs and aspirations of today's Muslims. Yet the *maqāṣid* can, in many ways, be enhanced and supplemented the rich methodology and resources of *uṣūl al-fiqh*.
- The desire to rejuvenate the dynamism of Islamic thought can be better served through *maqāşid*-oriented ijtihad. Equipped with a credible methodology to ensure the proximity and nexus of *maqāşid* with the scriptural guidelines of Islam, the *maqāşid*-oriented ijtihad can provide a promising prospect for the advancement of a fresh genre of Islamic legal thought in conjunction with new and unprecedented developments. The *maqāşid*-oriented ijtihad (*al-ijtihad al-maqāşidī*) may thus be seen as a bridge between the *uşūl al-fiqh* and *maqāşid*. It is suggested, therefore, that writers on the *maqāşid* also take *maqāşid* together with ijtihad as a framework for their scholarly deliberations and enhance our understanding of *al-ijtihad al-maqāşidī*.
- *Maqāşid* should be given adequate coverage in the university teaching programmes of Islamic jurisprudence. This is beginning to be the case generally, yet greater attention to *maqāşid* that would reflect the current state of scholarship on the subject is still wanting.
- Muslim leaders, parliamentarians and judges may be advised to take the *maqāşid* as a basis of justification for legislative and judicial reforms that can enhance the substance of fruitful civilisational engagement and dialogue. This would make a meaningful contribution toward turning the tide of hostile overtures of the so-called 'clash of civilisations' towards peaceful coexistence and engagement, a prospect one hopes to be grounded in commitment to shared values.
- Since the essential *maqāşid* are all concerned with basic human welfare targets and speak of the protection of humanitarian

44

values, they have the potential to unite people across the religious and ethnic divides. This would, in turn, be meaningful to our quest to strengthen and enhance the substance of pluralism and human rights in the multi-ethnic and multi-religious environment of Malaysia and many other parts of the Muslim world.

NOTES

- I Cf., Zaharuddin Abdul Rahman, Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah fī Aḥkām al-Buyū^c (Kuala Lumpur: International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) Press, 2009), 16–17.
- 2 Sayf al-Dīn al-Āmidī, *al-Iḥkām fī Uṣūl al-Aḥkām*, ed. Abd al-Razzaq Afifi, 4 vols (Beirut: al-Maktab al-Islāmī, 1982, 2nd ed.), 3:180.
- 3 Mustafa Ahmad al-Zarqa, al-Madkhal al-Fiqhī al-ʿĀmm (Damascus: Dār al-Qalam, 1998), 1:392. Without engaging in technicalities, the uşūl scholars define ʿillah as an attribute of the hukm which is constant and evident (zāhir) and bears a proper (munāsib) relationship to the ruling of the text.
- 4 See for details Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence* (Cambridge: Islamic Texts Society, 2003), 274f.
- 5 Cf. Mohammad Hashim Kamali, Shari'ah Law: An Introduction (Oxford: Oneworld Publications, 2008), ch. on maqāşid, 123–41.
- 6 Ahmad al-Raysuni, Nazariyyat al-Maqāşid ^cInd al-Imām al-Shāţibī, Engl. tr. as Imam al-Shatibi's Theory of the Higher Objectives and Intents of Islamic Law by Nancy Roberts (Herndon VA: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2006), 17.
- 7 Muhammad al-Tahir Ibn Ashur, Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah al-Islāmiyyah, ed. Muhammad al-Tahir al-Messawi (Amman: al-Başā'ir li al-Intāj al-^cIlmī, 1998), 171. This book was first published in 1946, whereas Allal al-Fasi's book (see note below) was published in 1963.
- 8 Allal al-Fasi, *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cat al-Islāmiyyah wa Makārimuhā* (Casablanca: Maktabat al-Waḥdah al-ʿArabiyyah, n.d.), **3**.
- 9 Cf., Abd al-Rahman Ibrahim Zayd al-Kilani, *Qawāʿid al-Maqāṣid ʿInd al-Imām al-Shāțībī* (Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 2000), 128.
- 10 Yusuf al-Qaradawi, *Fiqh al-Zakāt* (Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risālah, 2000), 1:31.

- II Muhammad al-Zuhayli, Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah: Asās li-Huqūq al-Insān, Kitāb al-Ummah Series No. 87 (Doha: Ministry of Awqāf and Islamic Affairs of Qatar, 2003), 70.
- 12 Al-Raysuni, Nazariyyat, 19. For a more detailed discussion of Raysuni's definition and its critique see Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Law and Ethics in Islam: The Role of the Maqāşid," in Kari Vogt, Lena Larson, and Christian Moe (eds), New Directions in Islamic Thought: Exploring Reform and Muslim Tradition (London: I.B. Tauris, 2009), 23–47.
- 13 Muhammad ibn Ismā'īl al-Bukhārī, Şahīh al-Bukhārī (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1961), hadith no. 3,344; Şahīh Muslim, ed. Muhammad Fuad Abd al-Baqi (Beirut: Dār Ihyā' al-Turāth, 1980), hadith no. 1,064.
- 14 al-Fasi, Maqāşid, 88.
- 15 Cf., Hasan al-Turabi, al-Tafsīr al-Tawhīdī, 20, as quoted in Jasser Auda, Maqasid al-Shariah as Philosophy of Islamic Law: A Systems Approach (London and Washington: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2008), 232.
- 16 Historical accounts and stories of bygone nations and prophets occupy almost eight of the 30 parts of the Qur'an and they are replete with moral guidance, sincerity in belief, leadership qualities, educational themes and insights into the consequences of how people conducted themselves. To marginalise these would seem to be tantamount to marginalising the moral substance of qur'anic teachings. See for a discussion, Ridwan Jamal al-Atrash, "Al-Maqāşid al-Aşliyyah fi al-Qur'ān al-Karīm 'ind al-Imām Ibn 'Āshūr," in *Maqasid al-Shari*^cah and its Realization in Contemporary Societies [proceedings of the 'International Conference on Islamic Jurisprudence and the Challenges of the 21st Century,' International Islamic University Malaysia, IIUM, Kuala Lumpur, 8–10 August 2006] (Kuala Lumpur: IIUM, 2006), 2:540–541.
- 17 The uşūl methods for identification of effective causes include takhrīj al-manāţ (extraction of the effective cause), tanqīh (isolation of) al-manāţ, and taḥqīq (ascertaining of) al-manāţ, as well as a number of other methods that tend to run into technicalities. See for details, Kamali, Principles (chapter on qiyās).
- See for details on *ta*^c*līl*, Kamali, *Principles*, 46f. and on the *uṣūlī* treatment of *maqāşid*, Kamali, *Shari'ah Law*, 124f.
- 19 For example, the right of preemption (*haqq al-shuf^ea*), and the ruling that a Muslim may not make an offer of betrothal to a woman who is already engaged to another person originate in the hadith.
- 20 This is the main reason why the numbering of *āyāt al-aḥkām* also fluctuate from 200

to 350, 500, and even 600, by different writers depending on the degree of specification and restrictiveness that is applied in the selection.

- Yusuf al-Qaradawi, Kayfa Nataʿāmalu maʿ al-Sunnah (Cairo: Dār al-Wafā,' 1990),
 135.
- 22 Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid*, 322. See also Mohamed El-Tahir El-Mesawi, "*Maqāşid al-Sharī*^cah: An *Uşūlī* Doctrine," in *Maqasid al-Sharī*^cah and its Realization in Contemporary Societies [proceedings of the 'International Conference on Islamic Jurisprudence and the Challenges of the 21st Century,' International Islamic University Malaysia, IIUM, Kuala Lumpur, 8–10 August 2006] (Kuala Lumpur: IIUM, 2006), 3:80.
- 23 Ibid., 130.
- 24 The hadith of *tas^cīr* is recorded by Abū Dāwūd, *Sunan Abī Dāwūd* (various edns), hadith no. 3,457 also recorded by Tirmidhī and Ibn Mājah.
- 25 Taqī al-Dīn ibn Taymiyyah, Majmū^c al-Fatāwā (Riyadh: Ministry of Islamic Affairs, 1998), 10:2. See for details also Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Tas'īr or Price Controls in Islamic Law," The American Journal of Islamic Social Sciences 11 (1994), 25–38.
- 26 al-Bukhārī, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, hadith no. 6764; and Muslim, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, hadith no. 1,614.
- Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, Aḥkām ahl al-Dhimmah (Beirut: Dār al-ʿIlm li al-Malāyīn, 1981), 2:462–3.
- 28 Ibid., 2:464. See also Khalid ibn Mansur al-Daris, "Āthār Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah fi Fahm al-Hadīth al-Nabawī: Al-Imām Ibn Taymiyyali Numajizan," in *Maqasid al-Sharī^cah and its Realization in Contemporary Societies* [proceedings of the 'International Conference on Islamic Jurisprudence and the Challenges of the 21st Century,' International Islamic University Malaysia, IIUM, Kuala Lumpur, 8–10 August 2006] (Kuala Lumpur: IIUM, 2006), 2:429–430.
- 29 al-Bukhārī, *Şaḥīḥ*, hadith no. 294, and Muslim, *Ṣaḥīḥ*, hadith no. 1,211.
- 30 Ibn Taymiyyah, *Majmū^c al-Fatāwā*, 26:224.
- 31 Ibid., 28:126. See also al-Daris, "Āthār," 2:433-4.
- 32 Excerpt from the Prophet's sermon on the occasion of the 'Farewell Pilgrimage.' See also Gamal Eldin Attia, *Towards Realisation of the Higher Intents of Islamic Law: Maqāşid al-Sharī*^cah A Functional Approach, Eng. Tr. by Nancy Roberts (London and Washington: The International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2007), 142.
- 33 Cf., Kamali, Principles of Islamic Jurisprudence, 352.
- 34 Cf., Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Maqasid al-Shari'ah and Ijtihad as Instruments of Civilisational Renewal," *Islam and Civilisational Renewal*, Vol.II, no. 2 (January 2011), 248.

- 35 This third class (i.e *juz'iyyah*) has also its correlative, namely *al-maqāşid al-kulliyyah*, or totalitarian purposes. However the last category is almost identical with 'general purposes'- hence we combine the two classification into one that consist of three varieties.
- 36 Cf., Muhammad Ibrahim Naqashi, 'Amaliyyāt al-Taşkīk wa Dawruhā fi Taḥqīq Maqāşid al-Sharīʿah al-Islāmiyyah," in IIUM Conference Proceedings, vol. 2, Maqsid al-Sharīʿah, 62.
- 37 Cf., Gamal Eldin Attia, Towards Realisation of the Higher Intents of Islamic Law: Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah – A Functional Approach, Eng. Tr. by Nancy Roberts (London and Washington: The International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2007), 112.
- 38 See for further detail, Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Maqasid al-Shari'ah and Ijtihad as Instruments of Civilisational Renewal," *Islam and Civilisational Renewal*, Vol. 2, no. 2 (January 2011), 251 f.
- 39 Al-Shatibi, al-Muwāfaqāt, Vol. II, 400. See also Attia, Towards Realisation, 113.
- 40 See for details on the classification of Maqasid, Kamali, Shariah Law, 134-137.
- 41 See for details ibid.
- 42 In the Arabic original: "Uşūl al-Dīn wa Qawā'id al-Sharī'ah wa Kulliyāt al-Millah," see Abū Ishāq Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā al-Shāţibī, *al-Muwāfaqāt fī Uşūl al-Sharī'ah*, ed. Abd-Allah Darraz, 4 vols (Beirut: Dār al-Ma'rifah, 1975, 2nd ed.), 2:25.
- 43 Ibn Ashur, Maqāșid, 225.
- 44 This is the view of Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazāly who validated *maṣlaḥah* only if it promoted the *maqāṣid*.
- 45 Cf., Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid*, 51; Attia, *Towards*, 16.
- Al-Shāţibī, al-Muwāfaqāt, 1:243; see also Yusuf al-Qaradawi, Madkhal li-Dirāsat al-Sharī^cah al-Islāmiyyah (Cairo: Maktabah Wahbah, 1990), 64–5; Kamali, Shari'ah Law, 132.
- 47 This is the view of al-Juwaynī, al-Sarakhsī, al-Sulamī and Ibn Taymiyyah. It is also noted that since Imam Mālik accepted *maşlahah mursalah* as a basis of law and judgment, he can be assumed to have accepted ^caql as a proof also of *maqāşid*. See for details Zaharuddin Abdul Rahman, *Maqāşid*, 102f.
- 48 Abū Hāmid al-Ghazāly, Iḥyā' 'Ulūm al-Dīn (Cairo: al-Maktabah al-Tijāriyyah al-Kubrā, n.d.), 4:115.
- 49 'Izz al-Dīn 'Abd al-Salām, Qawā'id al-Aḥkām fī Masāliḥ al-Anām, ed. Abd al-Latif Abd al-Rahman (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah, 1999), 1:8.
- 50 Cf., Zaharuddin Abdul Rahman, *Maqāṣid*, 104.
- 51 Imām al-Haramayn al-Juwaynī, al-Burhān fī Uşūl al-Fiqh (Doha: Dār al-Qalam, 1978), 2:114.

50

- 52 Ibid., 2:117.
- 53 Abū ʿAli ibn Sīnā, Kitāb al-Najāt fī al-Ḥikmah al-Manțiqiyyah wa al-Ţabīʿiyyah wa al-Ilāhiyyah, ed. Majid Fakhri (Beirut: Dār al-Āfāq al-Jadīdah, 1985), 99.
- 54 Ibn Ashur, Maqāșid, 58.
- 55 Ibid., 266.
- 56 Ibid., 268.
- 57 El-Mesawi, "Maqāșid, 3:84.
- 58 al-Shāțibī, *al-Muwāfaqāt*, 2:385.
- 59 Ahmad al-Raysuni, "al-Manhaj al-Maqāşidī fi Fiqh Ibn Rushd," in Qadāyā Islāmiyyah Mu^cāşirah, as quoted in Attia, Towards, 97–9.
- 60 Ibn al-Qayyim al-Jawziyyah, *I* lām al-Muwaqqi^cīn ^can Rabb al-^cĀlamīn, ed. Taha Abd al-Rauf Saad (Beirut: Dār al-Jīl, 1973), 1:333.
- 61 Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid*, 64.
- 62 Ibid., 78.
- 63 El-Mesawi, "Maqāșid, 3:90.
- 64 Allal al-Fasi, Maqāșid, 41. See also Attia, Towards, 102-3.
- 65 al-Raysuni, Nazariyyat, 294f.
- 66 See for details on commands and prohibitions and their consequences Mohammad Hashim Kamali, *Principles*, 196f.
- 67 Cf., Ahmad al-Raysuni, al-Fikr al-Maqāșidī (Rabat: Jarīdat al-Zamān, 1999), 129f.
- 68 Auda, Maqāșid, 244.
- 69 Ibid.
- Muhammad Mahdi Shams al-Din "Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah," in *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah*, ed.
 Abd al-Jabbar al-Rifai (Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 2001), 26.
- 71 Yunus Soualhi, "The Question of Methodology in the Science of Maqasid," in Maqasid al-Shari^cah and its Realization in Contemporary Societies [proceedings of the 'International Conference on Islamic Jurisprudence and the Challenges of the 21st Century,' International Islamic University Malaysia, IIUM, Kuala Lumpur, 8–10 August 2006] (Kuala Lumpur: IIUM, 2006), 2:62.
- 72 Ibid., 63 Soualhi's quotation is from al-Shāțibī, al-Muwāfaqāt, 2:51.
- 73 Soualhi only mentions some aspects of the $u_s\bar{u}l$ methodology for the identification of effective cause (*masālik al-*^c*illah*) in the context of $qiy\bar{a}s$. Some $u_s\bar{u}l$ texts, however, record close to forty conditions that the *cillah* must fulfil for it to be valid. To follow all of those would actually make $qiy\bar{a}s$ and *cillah* both redundant as the new case (far^c) would to all intents and purposes have to be an exact replica of the original case ($qiy\bar{a}s$), in which case both the new and the original cases would fall under the law of (*hukm*) and no $qiy\bar{a}s$ will be needed.

- 74 Ibn Taymiyyah, Majmū^c al-Fatāwā, 32:134. See for further details also Kamali, Principles, 402f.
- 75 See for a summary of their views Attia, *Towards*, 79–86. See also Kamali, *Principles*, 401–2.
- 76 al-Qaradawi, Madkhal, 75.
- 77 As quoted in Attia, *Towards*, 84 excerpt from Siraj's seminar paper on "Islamic Legal Priorities."
- 78 Cf., Kamali, Principles, 402.
- 79 Ibn Ashur, Maqāșid, 139. See also Attia, Towards, 82.
- 80 Excerpt from al-Qaradawi's seminar paper on the Sunnah, as quoted in Attia, *Towards*, 84.
- 81 Quoted from Attia's version of an excerpt from Muhammad al-Ghazali's seminar paper on the subject of Islamic legal priorities with minor linguistic adjustments by the present writer; Attia, *Towards*, 83.
- 82 al-Raysuni, Nazariyyat, 47f.
- 83 Bin Bayyah, *Maqāṣid al-Sharī^cah*, **52**, **54**.
- 84 'Abd al-Karīm Zaydān, al-Wajīz fī Sharh al-Qawā'id al-Fiqhiyyah (Beirut: Mu'assasah al-Risalah, 1425/2004), 184.
- 85 Cf., Attia, Towards Realisation, 109.
- 86 Sayf al-Din ʿAbd al-Fattah, "Nahw Taf ĩl al-Numūzaj al-Maqāşidī fi'l-Majl al-Siyāsī wa'l-Ijtimā'ī," in al-Maqasid Research Centre, Maqāşid al-Sharīʿah wa Qaḍāyā al-ʿAsr, 187-88.
- 87 Cf., Riyad Mansur al-Khalifi, "al-Maqāşid al-Shar'iyyah wa atharuhā fi'l-fiqh almu'āmalāt al-māliyyah," *Majallah Jāmi'ah al-Malik ʿAbdulazīz al-Iqtişād al-Islāmī*, 17.1 (1425/2004), 3-48, 14.
- 88 'Izz al-Din 'Abd al-Salam, Qawā'id al-Ahkām fi Maṣāliḥ al-Anām, Vol 1, 124-25.
- 89 Al-Shatibi, *Muwāfaqāt*, II, 13-14; see also al-Khalifi in the previous note at p. 16.
- 90 See for details, Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah*, 419-20.
- 91 Al-Tahir ibn Ashur, *Maqāṣid al-Sharī^cah*, 15-16.
- 92 Ibid., 27.
- 93 Cf., Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah* (Messawi's trans), (London: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 1417/2007), 25. I have also consulted the original Arabic version of Ibn Ashur's book for added verification.
- 94 Ibid., 25.
- 95 'Abd Allah Bin Bayyah, Maqāşid al-Sharī'ah fi'l-Mu'āmalāt, Lecture series no. 6, al-Maqasid Research Centre in the Philosophy of Islamic Law, London, 2008. The

lecture was actually delivered at Alexandria University, Faculty of Law in February 2008.

- 96 Ibn Ashur, *Maqāşid*, 204. The Arabic version of this quote reads: "Inn al-ḥadīth al-ḍa^cif khayrun min-al-qiyās."
- 97 ^cAbd Allah Bin Bayyah, *Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah fi'l-Mu^cāmalāt*, 26.
- 98 Khalifah Ababakr al-Hasan, Falsafah Maqāşid al-Tashrīkh fil-fiqh al-Islāmī (Cairo: Maktabah Wahbah, 2000/1421), 38.
- 99 Ibn Qayyim, I'lām, III: 12; Raysūn, Nazariyyah, 336.
- 100 Cf., Raysuni, Nazariyyah, 338-9.
- 101 Cf., al-Zuhayli, al-Fiqh al-Islāmī IV, 32. See for more illustrations, Kamali, Jurisprudence, 323.
- 102 See for details the chapter on Istihsān in Kamali, Jurisprudence.
- 103 Muhammad Selim al-Awwa, "Fikrat al-Maqāşid fi'al-Tashrīkhal-Wad'ī," in al-Maqasid Research Centre (London: Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah wa Qadāyā al-^cAsr, 2007), 263-65.
- 104 Ibid., 268.
- 105 Ibid., 270.
- 106 See for details on Mahdi Shams al-Din's views, Gamal Eldin Attia, Towards Realisation of the Higher Intents of Islamic Law, 166-67.
- 107 Malik ibn Anas, al-Muwaīīa,' Kitāb al-ajj, Bab Mā Jā' fī Binā' al-Kaʿbah; Raysuni Nazariyyah, 354.
- 108 Shatibi, Muwāfaqāt, IV, 97; Madhkal, 186-7.
- 109 These chapters are found in Kamali, Shari'ah Law, 41ff.; and Mohammad Hashim Kamali, "Maqāşid al-Sharī^cah Made Simple," Occasional Paper Series 13 (London and Washington: International Institute of Islamic Thought, 2008), 26ff.; and "Law and Ethics in Islam: The Role of the Maqāşid," in Kari Vogt, Lena Larsen, and Christian Moe (eds), New Directions in Islamic Thought: Exploring Reform and Muslim Tradition (London: I.B. Tauris, 2009), 23–47.

This paper develops the idea of a $maq\bar{a}sid$ -based framework for ijtihad and civilisational renewal ($tajd\bar{a}d had\bar{a}r\bar{a}$), a broad and engaging prospect that also involves a review and reappraisal of the methodology of Islamic jurisprudence relating to both the $maq\bar{a}sid$ and ijtihad. The author argues that this would enable Muslims to widen the scope and horizon of the $maq\bar{a}sid$ or objectives of Islamic law from their currently legalistic leanings towards the wider perspective of civilisational renaissance. The nexus that needs to be developed between the $maq\bar{a}sid$ and ijtihad also needs to be supported by a credible methodology, which is what the author has attempted in this paper.

MOHAMMAD HASHIM KAMALI

Mohammad Hashim Kamali is currently Chairman and CEO of the International Institute of Advanced Islamic Studies in Kuala Lumpur. He was professor of law and a Faculty Dean at the International Islamic University of Malaysia from 1985 to 2007. He is currently a Shariah Advisor with the Securities Commission of Malaysia, Chairman of the CIMB Shariah Committee, and Chairman of Shariah Board, Stanlib Corporation of South Africa. Professor Kamali has addressed over 120 national and international conferences, published 20 books and over 140 academic articles. He has authored a number of works including *Freedom of Expression in Islam* (Cambridge, 1997); *Freedom, Equality and Justice in Islam* (Cambridge, 2002); and *A Textbook of Hadith Studies* (Leicester, UK, 2005). He has received the Ismail al-Faruqi Award for Academic Excellence twice, in 1995 and 1997, and is listed in a number of leading *Who's Who in the World*.



THE INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ISLAMIC THOUGHT





ISBN 978-1-56564-579-0

